

Lower-limb express visuomotor responses are spared in Parkinson's disease during step initiation from a stable position

Lucas S. Billen^a, Jorik Nonnekes^{a,b}, Brian D. Corneil^{c,d,e}, Vivian Weerdesteyn^{a,b,*}

^a Department of Rehabilitation, Donders Institute for Brain, Cognition & Behavior, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, the Netherlands

^b Sint Maartenskliniek Research, Nijmegen, the Netherlands

^c Department of Physiology & Pharmacology, Western University, London, Canada

^d Department of Psychology, Western University, London, Canada

^e Robarts Research Institute, London, Canada

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Step initiation
Postural control
Express visuomotor responses
Anticipatory postural adjustments
Electromyography
Parkinson's disease

ABSTRACT

Objective: While motor impairments in Parkinson's Disease are well-studied, less is known about how people with Parkinson's Disease (PwPD) can nevertheless rapidly transform vision into action. These transformations can be studied by measuring express visuomotor responses (EVRs), which are stimulus-directed bursts of muscle activity thought to originate from the superior colliculus, reaching the periphery via the tecto-reticulospinal pathway.

Methods: We examined EVRs in the lower limbs during goal-directed step initiation in 20 PwPD and 20 healthy controls (HC). As lower-limb EVRs in the young have been shown to interact with postural control – which are often affected in PwPD – we manipulated postural demands by varying stance width and target location.

Results: Under low postural demand, both groups expressed consistent EVRs. EVR magnitudes were significantly higher in PwPD, yet decreased with greater disease severity. Under high postural demands, EVRs were suppressed and followed by strong anticipatory postural adjustments, which were smaller in PwPD compared to HC.

Conclusions: The circuit mediating EVRs may be upregulated in early PD to compensate for motor deficits experienced in daily life, but becomes progressively impaired as PD advances.

Significance: These findings provide novel insight into the neural underpinnings of rapid stepping in health and disease.

1. Introduction

Parkinson's disease (PD) results in both motor and non-motor symptoms. Gait and balance impairments are a hallmark of the disease (Caetano et al., 2018; Clarke, 2007; Contreras & Grandas, 2012; Palakurthi & Burugupally, 2019). Despite extensive research into gait and balance impairments in PD, the ability for people with PD (PwPD) to rapidly and flexibly change stepping behavior in response to changes in an inherently dynamic environment has received relatively little attention. This ability is essential in everyday life, for example to ensure safe locomotion on uneven terrain, as it involves the complex interplay between movement adjustments of the stepping leg and postural control. The few studies that have looked at this suggest that this ability is impaired in PwPD, in parallel with a potentially higher risk of falling (Borm et al., 2024; Caetano et al., 2018; Geerse et al., 2018). Yet, the underlying mechanisms have remained elusive.

To facilitate such rapid goal-directed stepping behavior, involvement of a reflexive, yet highly adaptive, fast visuomotor network has been proposed (Queralt et al., 2008; Reynolds & Day, 2005, 2007). In the upper limb and neck, these rapid visuomotor transformations are thought to originate in the midbrain superior colliculus from where they are relayed to the brainstem reticular formation and subsequently to the motor periphery via the tectoreticulospinal tract (Boehnke & Munoz, 2008; Corneil et al., 2004; Corneil & Munoz, 2014). Indeed, a network involving the superior colliculus has been proposed to underlie the initiation of our most rapid, visually-guided actions, not only for rapid oculomotor movements such as express saccades (Munoz et al., 2000) and orienting head movements (Corneil et al., 2004; Rezvani & Corneil, 2008), but also for mid-flight adjustments of either upper (Day & Brown, 2001) or lower (Fautrelle et al., 2010; Weerdesteyn et al., 2004) limbs.

In recent years, bursts of short-latency muscle activity occurring in a time-locked window ~100 ms after appearance of a salient visual

* Corresponding author at: Postbus 9101, 6500 HB Nijmegen, the Netherlands.

E-mail address: Vivian.Weerdesteyn@radboudumc.nl (V. Weerdesteyn).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinph.2025.2110988>

Accepted 22 August 2025

Available online 27 August 2025

1388-2457/© 2025 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of International Federation of Clinical Neurophysiology. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

stimulus (termed express visuomotor responses, EVRs), have been proposed to arise from signaling along the tectoreticulospinal tract (Corneil et al., 2004; Pruszyński et al., 2010). In the upper limb, EVRs can be generated from either a stable starting posture or during mid-flight reaching adjustments (Kozak et al., 2019), are directionally tuned to the location of the stimulus (Contemori et al., 2023; Gu et al., 2016) and are associated with shorter reaction times (Gu et al., 2016; Pruszyński et al., 2010; Wood et al., 2015).

Could degradation of the fast visuomotor network underlie deficits in visually-guided stepping in PD? Neuropathologically, the tectoreticulospinal pathway may be directly affected, as there is early-stage degeneration in the brainstem, which spreads to higher-order areas as the disease progresses (Braak et al., 2003; Diederich et al., 2014; Jubault et al., 2009; Seidel et al., 2015). Furthermore, disease-mediated changes in the inputs to the superior colliculus, for example from the basal ganglia or pedunculopontine nucleus, may lead to over- or under-excitability of the fast visuomotor network depending on the excitatory or inhibitory nature of the projections involved. For the upper limb, previous reports have reached differing conclusions on whether PD impacts mid-flight reaching adjustments, as one study reported deficits (Desmurget et al., 2004) whereas another reported that such adjustments were retained (Merritt et al., 2017); the initiation of interceptive movements of the upper limb was also reported recently to be spared (Fookien et al., 2022). To date, the only study of upper limb EVRs in PD reported that they were spared in PD (Gilchrist et al., 2024). Importantly, disease severity differed between the studies, with the PD cohort in the Desmurget study being the most severely affected (average UPDRS Motor subscale score of 36.41, OFF state) and the cohort in the Merritt study (average UPDRS Motor subscale score of 11.07, OFF state) being least affected. This discrepancy suggests a potential effect of disease severity on the integrity of the fast visuomotor network.

Here, we aimed to examine whether EVR recruitment during rapid goal-directed step initiation is impacted by PD. This is of particular interest because of the recently demonstrated interplay between lower limb EVRs and postural control (Billen et al., 2023). Unlike upper limb movements, stepping is highly posturally demanding, requiring anticipatory postural adjustments (APAs) to shift the center of mass before initiating the step. In our study (Billen et al., 2023), we found that stance-side EVRs consistently preceded and were inversely related to the subsequent step-side APAs: EVRs were robustly expressed when stepping in a low-postural demand condition that did not require APAs, but EVRs were suppressed when stepping from in a high postural demand condition requiring APAs. Importantly, when EVRs were inappropriately expressed in the high postural demand condition, they were followed by larger compensatory APAs and delayed step reaction times, indicating a negative impact on task performance.

These observations, which were made in young healthy adults, raise the question about what happens in PD, and in aging. APAs are substantially impaired in PD (Halliday et al., 1998; Hass et al., 2005; Lin et al., 2016). If, in addition to impaired APA expression, the posturally-dependent regulation of EVRs is also affected in PD, this may be reflected in balance demands not being met, increasing the risk of falling. Here, we aim to address the question of how we can better understand the complex interaction between EVRs and APAs in Parkinson's disease and in aging. Like the paradigm used in a previous study (Billen et al., 2023), participants performed a visually guided stepping task while we manipulated the postural demands of the upcoming step.

Behaviorally, we expect APAs and stepping behavior to be significantly impaired in PwPD compared to an age-matched healthy control group. Based on the more recent findings of intact upper-limb EVRs in PD, we hypothesize that the EVR network is inherently spared, at least in those with mild to moderate disease severity. Further, to examine potential degradation of the fast visuomotor network in more advanced disease stages, we determined the relationship between EVR expression and disease severity (as measured with the MDS-UPDRS part III) within the PwPD.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Participants

Twenty participants with idiopathic Parkinson's Disease (12 males, 8 females, 65.8 ± 7.1 years) and twenty age-matched healthy older adults (12 males, 8 females, 66.5 ± 8 years) participated in this study. Inclusion criteria involved a BMI under 25 kg/m^2 to minimize the coverage of muscles by adipose tissue, which could compromise the quality of surface EMG recordings. Exclusion criteria were any (additional) visual, neurological, or motor-related disorders that could influence the participant's performance in the study. PwPD were not required to deviate from their medication schedule. Before the start of the experiment, each PwPD participant completed the MDS-UPDRS assessment. The average total MDS-UPDRS score was 42.4 ($SD = 16.6$) with an average part III (motor subscale) score of 28.9 ($SD = 13.2$, min = 12, max = 52). None of the PwPD regularly experienced freezing of gait, so the New Freezing of Gait Questionnaire (N-FOG; Nieuwboer et al., 2009) was not administered. The study protocol was reviewed by the medical ethics committee (CMO Arnhem-Nijmegen, 2022-16109) and conducted in accordance with the latest version of the Declaration of Helsinki. All participants provided written informed consent prior to participation and were free to withdraw from the study at any time.

2.2. Data collection & experimental design

The experiment was performed using a Gait Real-time Analysis Interactive Lab (GRAIL, Motek Medical, The Netherlands), as previously described in Billen et al. (2023). In short, the experimental setup included an M-gait dual-belt treadmill with two embedded force plates (sampled at 2000 Hz, GRAIL, Motek Medical, The Netherlands) to measure ground reaction forces, a 10-camera 3D motion analysis system (sampled at 100 Hz, Vicon Motion Systems, United Kingdom) and a projector (Optoma, UK) to project all visual stimuli. Muscle activity of gluteus medius was recorded using Ag/AgCl surface electrodes and a Wave Wireless electromyography system (sampled at 2000 Hz, Wave Wireless EMG, Cometa, Italy). GM was chosen instead of tibialis anterior (TA; a muscle commonly reported as being involved in APAs), because our previous study showed that the initial recruitment of TA did not differ after left or right target presentation. Electrodes were placed in accordance with the SENIAM guidelines (Hermens & Merletti, 1999) and signal quality was checked prior to the experimental task. Trials were started manually via the D-flow software (Motek Medical, The Netherlands) by the experimenter. A secondary peripheral target measured by a photodiode (TSL250R-LF, TAOS, USA) was used to account for small variable delays in target presentation. All reported measures (i.e. EMG and force plate measures) were aligned to the moment of stimulus presentation detected by the photodiode.

Participants stood on the stationary M-Gait with each foot placed on a separate force plate. They performed a modified version of an emerging target paradigm (Kozak et al., 2020) known to promote EVRs (Contemori et al., 2021a; Kozak & Corneil, 2021), which we modified for a stepping task (Billen et al., 2023). The initial stance position was indicated by the projection of small circles at the desired foot location. The stepping task was projected on the treadmill in front of the participant (Fig. 1). Each trial started with the appearance of a projected stationary visual target in front of the participant (130 cm from participant). The target started moving towards the participant with a constant velocity, then it disappeared behind an occluder (a light blue rectangle) for a fixed interval of 750 ms and subsequently it reappeared randomly as a single flash (48 ms, i.e. 3 frames) in front of the left or right foot of the participant. Participants were instructed to perform a full stepping movement upon reappearance of the target, using the leg on the side of target appearance (i.e. step with the left leg when the target appeared on the left side and vice versa for the right leg) and placing the stance leg next to the stepping leg in order to complete the




Fig. 1. Experimental setup of the emerging target paradigm. The paradigm was projected on the floor in front of the participants. Participants placed their feet on two projected dots. The visual target moved down towards the participants, disappeared behind the occluder, and then, in this example, reappeared in front of left foot of the participant. Participant stepped onto the target upon reappearance, requiring either an anterolateral (left figure) or anteromedial (right figure) stepping response.

stepping movement. After completing the trial, the participant returned to the starting position, and the subsequent trial began. As was done previously (Billen et al., 2023), we instructed participants to initiate and complete the step as rapidly as possible. As a slight amendment to the previous instructions, we also aimed to further increase the participant's motivation to step fast by instructing them to imagine that the reappearing target was a small flame that they need to extinguish as rapidly as possible by stepping onto it. This was done following pilot experiments showing that older individuals were less inclined to step as fast as the younger cohort in our previous. Frequent reminders were also provided throughout the experiment.

In separate blocks of trials, the postural demands of the upcoming step were manipulated by presenting the stepping target either anterolaterally (stepping forward and outward from a narrow stance) or anteromedially (stepping forward and inward from a wide stance) in front of the stepping foot. Altering the target location and stance width dynamically modifies the postural demands of the stepping task. Stepping medially from a wide stance increases balance demands and, as a result, requires an anticipatory postural adjustment (APA). Conversely, stepping laterally from a narrow stance toward anterolateral targets reduces these demands. Participants completed 4 blocks of 75 trials (300 in total). Each block consisted of either only anterolateral targets or anteromedial targets and the order of the blocks was counterbalanced. Participants were informed about the condition before each block. Target side (left/right) was randomized on each trial.

2.3. Data processing and analysis

Incorrect trials were excluded from the analysis and were defined as trials in which participants stepped towards the wrong direction or initiated a stepping movement with the contralateral foot. Data analysis was performed using custom-written MATLAB scripts (version 2019a).

2.3.1. Reaction time

Stepping reaction time (RT) was defined as the interval between the appearance of the visual target, measured using a photodiode, and the

moment the stepping foot was lifted off the ground. Consistent with previous studies, foot-off was identified as the first instance where the vertical ground reaction force (F_z) dropped below one percent of the participant's body weight (Rajachandrakumar et al., 2017).

2.3.2. EVR presence and latency

Raw EMG signals were first band-pass filtered between 20 and 450 Hz and subsequently rectified and low-passed filtered at 150 Hz with second-order Butterworth filters. To determine the presence and latency of lower limb EVRs, we used a time-series receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) analysis, as described previously (Billen et al., 2023). Briefly, the target side (left vs. right) and postural condition (anterolateral vs. anteromedial) were used to group the EMG data. EMG activity was then compared between leftward and rightward steps within either condition. An ROC analysis was carried out, which, for each sample between 100 ms prior to and 500 ms following the visual stimulus appearance, computed the area under the ROC curve (AUC). This measure shows the likelihood that an ideal observer, relying just on EMG activity, could distinguish between the two sides of stimulus presentation. The AUC value range is 0 to 1, where 0.5 denotes chance discrimination and 1 or 0 denotes correct or incorrect discrimination, respectively. We determined the discrimination threshold to be 0.6 in accordance with earlier studies (Gu et al., 2016). Within the pre-specified EVR epoch of 100–140 ms following stimulus presentation, the time of earliest discrimination was determined as the moment at which the AUC exceeded the discrimination threshold and stayed above the threshold for 16 out of 20 consecutive samples.

2.3.3. Response magnitude in EVR window

The response magnitude in the EVR window (in this paper, synonymous to the term "EVR magnitude") was calculated for each condition within each participant, regardless of whether an EVR was detected. On a single trial basis, the mean EMG activity of the 20 ms window centered around the maximum EMG activity during the EVR epoch (100–140 ms) was calculated. Magnitudes were then normalized against the median peak EMG activity (in the interval from 140 ms to foot-off) during

anterolateral stepping of the respective participant. EMG magnitudes of all trials were then averaged per condition.

2.3.4. APA onset and magnitudes

As with EVRs, the onset of an APA was determined using a time-series receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis on EMG data of gluteus medius to determine the timepoint at which stepping-side GM activity increased significantly compared to stance-side activity, signifying APA initiation. The discrimination threshold was set to 0.6 (this threshold had to be crossed for 8 out of 10 consecutive trials) and the ROC analysis was carried out in the time window of 100–300 ms following target reappearance.

APA magnitude was defined based on the mean ground reaction forces. In the interval from 140 ms after target appearance (i.e., the end of the EVR window) and foot-off, the maximum vertical ground reaction force component (Fz) underneath the stepping leg was determined and corrected for baseline. Subsequently, the difference between this maximum and its corresponding ground reaction force underneath the stance leg was calculated and then normalized to percent total body weight (%BW).

2.4. Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using MATLAB (version R2019a). The level of significance was set to $p < 0.05$ for all analyses. Repeated Measures ANOVAs were performed to study whether EVR magnitudes, APA magnitudes as well as stepping parameters (stepping RT, velocity, size, duration) differed between *postural demand* (anterolateral/anteromedial stepping) and between *groups* (PD/HC).

To compare EVR prevalence between the HC and PD groups we used Fisher's exact test. Two-sample t-tests were used to test whether APA onset times during anteromedial stepping and EVR latencies during anterolateral stepping differed between the PD and HC groups; and whether MDS-UPDRS scores differed between PwPD with and without EVR expression. Spearman's rank correlation coefficients were determined to study whether APA and EVR magnitudes were associated with MDS-UPDRS scores. We used Spearman's correlation coefficient because it is appropriate for assessing relationships involving ordinal variables such as the MDS-UPDRS scores.

3. Results

Any differences between stepping sides (left/right) in behavioral outcomes and EMG-related outcomes were not significant. Within the PD group, differences between the more and the less affected leg were also not significant across all spatiotemporal- and EMG outcomes (all $p > 0.25$). We therefore averaged all outcomes across sides.

3.1. Error rates

All participants completed the task with low error rates. Error rates were significantly lower during anterolateral stepping compared to anteromedial stepping in both the HC group (anterolateral: 1.9 %, anteromedial: 8.4 %, $t(19) = -5.62$, $p < 0.001$) and the PD group (anterolateral: 4.2 %, anteromedial: 9.1 %, $t(19) = -3.54$, $p = 0.002$). Differences between groups were non-significant, but error rates differed greatly between individuals. For example, in the PD group, the most error-prone participant made 26 errors in the anteromedial stepping blocks (17.3 % of trials) and 14 in anterolateral stepping (9.3 %), whereas others made virtually no errors. Similarly, in the HC group, the most error-prone participant made 18 errors in anteromedial stepping (12 %) and 6 errors during anterolateral stepping (4 %).

3.2. Apart from APA magnitude, behavioral outcomes were unaffected in PD

We found a significant main effect of *postural demand* ($F(1,156) = 597.0$, $p < 0.001$) on APA magnitudes, with APAs in anterolateral stepping being either completely absent or small in magnitude (HC group, $M = .08$ %BW, $SD = 0.1$; PD group, $M = .06$ %BW, $SD = 0.1$) but strongly expressed in anteromedial stepping (HC group, $M = .55$ %BW, $SD = 0.12$; PD group, $M = .41$ %BW, $SD = 0.19$). APAs were on average smaller in the PD than the HC group (*group*, $F(1,156) = 9.7$, $p < 0.01$; see Fig. 2A). In the anteromedial stepping condition, APA onset times did not differ significantly between the HC group ($M = 162$ ms, $SD = 17$ ms) and the PD group ($M = 160$ ms, $SD = 18$ ms; $T(38) = -0.20$, $p = 0.84$).

Stepping reaction times were significantly faster for anterolateral steps (HC: $M = 399$ ms, $SD = 76$ ms; PD: $M = 414$ ms, $SD = 75$ ms) than for anteromedial steps (HC: $M = 530$ ms, $SD = 71$ ms; PD: $M = 527$ ms, $SD = 80$ ms; $F(1,156) = 148.3$, $p < 0.001$). Step RTs did not differ between groups ($p = 0.19$; see Fig. 2B).

To investigate whether the stepping behavior itself was affected in PwPD, we investigated step velocity, size, and duration. There were significant effects of *postural demand* on all of these measures, with the steps in the anteromedial condition resulting in significantly higher step velocities (anterolateral: $M_{HC} = 177$ cm/s, $SD_{HC} = 20$ cm/s; $M_{PD} = 176$ cm/s, $SD_{PD} = 42$ cm/s; anteromedial: $M_{HC} = 220$ cm/s, $SD_{HC} = 50$ cm/s; $M_{PD} = 210$ cm/s, $SD_{PD} = 41$ cm/s; $F(1,156) = 39.42$, $p < 0.001$), shorter step durations (anterolateral: $M_{HC} = 295$ ms, $SD_{HC} = 34$ ms; $M_{PD} = 316$ ms, $SD_{PD} = 58$ ms; anteromedial: $M_{HC} = 263$ ms, $SD_{HC} = 45$ ms; $M_{PD} = 277$ ms, $SD_{PD} = 55$ ms; $F(1,156) = 26.91$, $p < 0.001$) and slightly larger step sizes (anterolateral: $M_{HC} = 51$ cm, $SD_{HC} = 6$ cm; $M_{PD} = 52$ cm, $SD_{PD} = 3$ cm; anteromedial: $M_{HC} = 54$ cm, $SD_{HC} = 4$ cm; $M_{PD} = 55$ cm, $SD_{PD} = 4$ cm; $F(1,156) = 17.67$, $p < 0.001$). There were no significant group differences in any of these outcomes ($p > 0.05$; see Fig. 2C–E).

3.3. EMG and force plate data in a representative PD and control participant

The upper half of Fig. 3 shows the data of a representative participant from the control group. The first column depicts the mean EMG activity across trials of left GM when it is on the stance side (dark blue) and when it is on the stepping side (light blue). On the stance side in the anterolateral condition (top row of Fig. 3), there is an initial burst of muscle activity at ~100 ms. This is the Express Visuomotor Response, as also demonstrated by the time-series ROC plot shown above the EMG trajectories. In the trial-by-trial data (colored heatmaps in second column), the EVR is visible as a vertical band of activity that is independent of the subsequent reaction time (EVR) and time-locked to target presentation (red rectangle on stance-leg heatmap). Following the EVR, there is a second burst of activity, which corresponds to the voluntary muscle activity related to step initiation. On the shortest latency trials, this activity fuses with the EVR, but it is otherwise separate from it. Activity on GM in the stepping leg remains relatively low throughout the initial phase of step initiation, and only ramps up around foot-off. The force plate data (column 4) shows an immediate increase of vertical force on the stance side and decrease on the stepping side, indicating that APAs were generally not executed.

In anteromedial stepping (second row), the general patterns of muscle activity look quite different. As is visible on the average EMG traces, there is a slight increase on stance side GM right around the EVR-window, which, looking at the trial-by-trial activity, is caused by a few trials showing EVR activity during trials with long step RTs. This initial burst of activity is promptly suppressed and the stance side only becomes active again shortly prior to foot-off. Instead, and in contrast to the anterolateral condition, stepping-side GM shows a pronounced increase in muscle activity around 150 ms after target reappearance which corresponds to strong APA expression. This is visible as an increase in vertical force at the stepping side at ~160 ms (column 4) which induces




Fig. 2. A-E. Behavioral outcomes of all participants from the HC (purple) and PD (grey) group for anterolateral and anteromedial stepping. The dots indicate individual averages, averaged across left and right steps. The density plots indicate the distribution of the data. The asterisks indicate significant differences ($p < 0.05$) between postural demands (horizontal) and groups (vertical). (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

a CoM shift towards the stance side.

The lower half of Fig. 3 depicts data of a representative participant with PD. Overall, the pattern of muscle recruitment, the reaction times and the ground reaction forces are remarkably similar to the control participant. In anterolateral stepping, strong and robust EVRs were evoked on most trials, which is visible in both the average EMG traces as an increase in stance leg activity (first column, first row), as well as on the trial-by-trial plot (second column, red rectangle) as a vertical band of time-locked activity around 120 ms. Similar to the control participant, stepping side GM remains relatively silent during anterolateral stepping, resulting in the absence of APAs.

In contrast to anterolateral stepping, APA-related activity in this representative participant with PD is again very strong during anteromedial stepping. Stepping-side GM becomes active at around 140 ms whereas stance-side GM remains relatively silent, which ‘push-off’ activity induces the ensuing CoM shift towards the stance side, as shown by the force plate data. EVRs are absent in most trials in this participant during anteromedial stepping, but, similar to the HC participant, there


are hints of EVR expression on trials with longer step RTs.

3.4. Are EVRs spared in PD?

To establish EVR expression in both groups, we first investigated EVR prevalence across groups and postural conditions. During anterolateral stepping, EVRs were robustly present in the majority of participants in both groups (HC: 16/20, 10 with bilateral EVRs; PD: 17/20, 12 with bilateral EVRs; $p = 0.68$). Average EVR latencies were similar across both groups (HC: $M = 120$ ms, $SD = 7.5$; PD: $M = 119$ ms, $SD = 9.3$; $p > 0.75$; see Fig. 4A). In anteromedial stepping, none of the participants of either group exhibited EVRs.

Investigating the response magnitude within the EVR window, we found significant effects of *group* ($F(1,156) = 4.1$, $p = 0.045$) and *postural demand* ($F(1,156) = 33.7$, $p < 0.001$), as well as a significant *group x postural demand* interaction ($F(1,156) = 5.3$, $p = 0.022$). In anterolateral stepping, response magnitudes were higher in the PD group ($M = 0.10$ a.u., $SD = 0.06$) compared to the HC group ($M = 0.08$ a.u., $SD = 0.03$),

Healthy Control Subject



PD Subject




Fig. 3. GM muscle activity, time-series ROC analysis and force plate data of an exemplar participants from the HC (top half, left GM) and PD (bottom half, right GM) group. Data is separated based on stepping condition (AL = anterolateral; AM = anteromedial). Each condition is presented on a separate row. All data are aligned to visual stimulus onset (green line). Column 1: shows mean EMG activity for the stance-side (dark blue) and the stepping side (light blue). The time-series ROC curve is shown in black. Discrimination times within the EVR epoch (100–140 ms) are indicated by the black vertical line. Columns 2 and 3: Trial-by-trial EMG activity of left GM when on the stance side (column 2) or the stepping side (column 3). Intensity of color conveys the magnitude of EMG activity. Each row represents a different trial. Trials are sorted by RT (white dots). Column 4: Mean vertical force (Fz) exerted by the stance (dark blue) and stepping leg (light blue). The initial increase in force under the stepping leg in the anteromedial condition corresponds to the APA. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)




Fig. 4. EVR characteristics for PD and HC group. (A) Average EVR latencies of all participants in the PD (purple) and the HC (grey) group during anterolateral stepping. If participants had bilateral EVRs, the average latency across sides are displayed here. Participants without EVRs on either side are not displayed here. (B) Response magnitudes within the EVR window of all participants from the HC (grey) and PD (purple) group for anterolateral and anteromedial stepping. The dots indicate individual averages, averaged across left and right steps. The density plots indicate the distribution of the data. The asterisks indicate significant differences ($p < 0.05$) between postural demands (horizontal) and groups (vertical). There was also a significant interaction between groups and postural demand. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

whereas response magnitudes were similarly small across both groups in the anteromedial stepping condition (HC: $M = 0.06$ a.u., $SD = 0.03$; PD: $M = 0.06$ a.u., $SD = 0.03$; Fig. 4B).

We further evaluated whether stronger EVRs preceded shorter stepping reaction times during anterolateral stepping, as previously reported in reaching (Pruszyński et al., 2010) and stepping (Billen et al., 2023). Indeed, on a trial-by-trial basis, there were negative, albeit non-significant, correlations in both the HC group ($\rho = -0.28$, $p = 0.21$) and the PD group ($\rho = -0.41$, $p = 0.054$), indicating that higher EVR magnitudes tended to precede shorter stepping reaction times. On a group-level (average EVR magnitude and step RT per participant), we observed significant negative correlations in the PD group ($\rho = -0.72$, $p < 0.001$), but not the HC group (HC: $\rho = -0.42$, $p = 0.066$, Fig. 5).

As is visible in the two representative participants, there seems to be EVR activity on a subset of slow-RT trials in the anteromedial condition. This was reported previously in our work on a younger healthy cohort (Billen et al., 2023), which we interpreted as a lack of contextual suppression of the EVR in the anteromedial condition. In order to test whether the two groups of the current study differed in their ability to contextually suppress the EVR in the anteromedial condition, as in Billen et al. (2023), we split the trials in the anteromedial stepping condition into a fast- and a slow-RT half and separately performing the time-series ROC analyses within the EVR window on the two subsets of trials. Replicating our previous findings, we detected EVRs on the slow half of trials in the majority of the control group (12/20) and the PwPD (13/20; $p = 1$), indicating that both groups had a similar ability to contextually suppress the EVR in the anteromedial condition.

3.5. Do EVR and APA magnitudes correlate with disease progression?

We observed a significant negative correlation ($\rho = -0.59$) between response magnitudes within the EVR window and the motor subscale (part III) of the MDS-UPDRS, indicating that PwPD experiencing more severe motor symptoms expressed weaker EVRs (Fig. 6A). We further tested whether MDS-UPDRS part III scores differed between participants with and without EVR expression. For both steps towards the right side ($T(18) = 0.37$, $p = 0.71$) and steps towards the left side ($T(18) = -1.73$, $p = 0.11$), this effect remained non-significant. We also found no

significant correlation between MDS-UPDRS score (part III) and APA magnitudes in the PD group ($\rho = 0.26$, $p = 0.27$ Fig. 6B).

To assess whether the observed relationship between evoked response variability (EVR) magnitude and disease severity (as measured by MDS-UPDRS part III scores) could be influenced by confounding factors, we examined the individual contributions of age and BMI. Neither age ($\rho = 0.34$, $p = 0.14$) nor BMI ($\rho = -0.43$, $p = 0.06$) showed a significant correlation with UPDRS scores.

To further evaluate their potential influence on EVR, we conducted a backward stepwise linear regression with EVR magnitude as the dependent variable, and UPDRS, age, and BMI as predictors. Only UPDRS score was retained in the final model ($\beta = -0.0016$, $p = 0.02$), with both age and BMI failing to contribute significantly.

4. Discussion

We investigated the relationship between express visuomotor responses (EVRs) and anticipatory postural adjustments (APAs) on the lower limbs of individuals with Parkinson's Disease (PD) and age-matched healthy controls. From a neuropathological and behavioral point of view, the integrity of the fast visuomotor network has remained relatively unexplored in PD. Our primary objective was to shed light on the intactness of this network by measuring EVRs and APAs in the context of a rapid visually-guided stepping task. EVRs were robustly present in both groups in anterolateral steps. Somewhat surprisingly, PwPD exhibited, on average, stronger EVRs than the control group, but EVR magnitudes decreased with increasing disease severity. In anteromedial stepping, EVRs were largely absent, although most participants from both groups showed EVRs on a subset of trials with slow stepping RTs. While APA magnitudes were decreased in PwPD compared to the control group, subsequent stepping outcomes remained unaffected. Our results demonstrate that the fast visuomotor network that produces EVRs is largely spared in PD, despite concurrent degradation of the circuitry that produces APAs.

4.1. APAs smaller in PD, but subsequent stepping parameters unaffected

In contrast to the extensive research demonstrating severe step initiation and gait impairments in PD (Caetano et al., 2018; Clarke, 2007; Contreras & Grandas, 2012; Palakurthi & Burugupally, 2019), we observed remarkable similarity in stepping-related parameters between PwPD and healthy controls. While APA magnitudes were slightly smaller in the PD group, this did not seem to negatively affect subsequent stepping reaction times, step velocity, duration or size. Interestingly, there were also no differences in any of these outcomes on the more affected side compared to the less affected side within the PwPD, which is surprising given the typical asymmetric motor symptom severity. One potential explanation for the absence of any significant behavioral differences between the two groups, as well as the absence of any differences between most and least affected side within PwPD, may be found in the nature of the task itself. It involved rapid step initiation towards highly salient visual stimuli in an emerging target paradigm that is known to enhance both the frequency and magnitude of EVRs. Moreover, stepping towards visual stimuli resembles characteristics of classical cueing tasks extensively studied in PD research (Cosentino et al., 2023; Jiang & Norman, 2006; Russo et al., 2022). As internally generated movements (involving the basal ganglia, in particular the posterior putamen) are usually impaired in PD due to dopaminergic depletion, external cueing has been proposed to engage alternative pathways involving corticostriatal loops, thereby bypassing some of the more strongly affected areas (Cosentino et al., 2023; Tosserams et al., 2022). In the current study, a similar mechanism might be at play, whereby the salient visual stimulus in combination with the goal of stepping toward the stimulus may have circumvented some of the more severely affected neural circuits, thereby masking some of the deficits experienced in daily life. Supporting this idea, Cursiol et al. (2025)




Fig. 5. Correlation between response magnitudes in the EVR window and stepping reaction times in healthy elderly participants (purple) and participants with PD (grey) in anterolateral stepping. Note that all participants are displayed here, regardless of whether or not a significant EVR was evoked. The two regression lines do not significantly differ from each other in intercept and slope ($p > 0.05$). (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)




Fig. 6. Correlations with disease severity. (A) Correlation between MDS-UPDRS part III scores and EVR magnitudes (averaged across sides) in the PD group during anterolateral stepping. Note that all participants are displayed here, regardless of whether or not a significant EVR was evoked. Participants who did not have EVRs on either side are indicated as black rings. (B) Scatter plot of MDS-UPDRS part III scores and APA magnitudes in the PD group during anteromedial stepping.

reported improved gait asymmetry in PwPD during rhythmic cueing, hence future research should investigate if similar mechanisms may be present during visual cueing.

Interestingly, APAs were indeed smaller in the PD group during anteromedial stepping, while the subsequent stepping reaction times remained unaffected. These findings may point towards an altered speed-accuracy trade-off in the PwPD compared to the control participants. PwPD generally seemed to prioritize speed at the cost of accuracy, underlined by slightly increased error rates, similar to previous findings on interceptive movements in the upper limb (Fookien et al., 2022), and in an anti-reach task (Gilchrist et al., 2024). These findings may hint at PwPD tending to push the boundaries of a safe step more than the healthy controls did, by lifting the foot despite a smaller and potentially insufficiently strong APA, possibly leading to smaller stability margins

upon foot landing. This idea of an altered speed-accuracy tradeoff in PwPD is also in line with various studies suggesting that, in contrast to healthy individuals, PwPD tend to employ a ‘posture-second’ strategy in the presence of secondary goals (for a review, see Bloem et al., 2006). This can further impair balance and increase the risk of falls. Healthy individuals tend to employ a ‘posture-first’ strategy, prioritizing balance in the presence of other goals. The fact that PwPD did not have delayed movement onsets in combination with smaller APAs, may indicate that they prioritize movement initiation (speed) over stabilizing posture, consistent with the ‘posture second’ hypothesis.

4.2. EVR expression largely spared in people with PD

Past research in the upper limb has provided evidence that EVRs, like




Fig. 7. Conceptual schematic of proposed pathways involved in the generation and modulation of express visuomotor responses (EVRs) in health (A) and Parkinson's disease (B). This strongly simplified schematic illustrates key structures that may contribute to the generation and modulation of EVRs, based on our findings. In healthy individuals (A), EVRs arise from the integration of salient visual input to the tectoreticulospinal circuit with posturally-dependent top-down modulation (for this figure, we illustrate the low postural demand condition that favors EVRs). Such top-down modulation of the superior colliculus and/or reticular formation may also involve signaling through basal ganglia. Grey arrows indicate putative pathways for which we assume functional involvement but no PD-related degradation or any specific modulatory changes (i.e., neither upregulation nor inhibition). In Parkinson's Disease (B), EVRs can be produced despite presumed degeneration of the basal ganglia (red box). Early in this disease, this degeneration can be overcome by increasing top-down modulation related to a shifting speed-accuracy tradeoff to produce larger EVRs than healthy controls. As the disease progresses, the continuing degeneration of the basal ganglia and reticular formation and/or decreases in top-down modulation produce progressively smaller EVRs. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

express saccades, are a manifestation of a visual grasp reflex where the measured output conveyed through the tectoreticulospinal tract (in this case, EVRs on lower limb muscles) arises from the integration of salient visual input with top-down preparation (Contemori et al., 2021b, 2023; Cornell & Munoz, 2014; Gu et al., 2016). This perspective is illustrated in simplified form in Fig. 7A, for the low postural demand condition. Given that EVRs arise from such integration, the changes in EVRs accompanying PD could arise through a number of scenarios. Our results revealed that EVRs were robustly expressed in a posturally-dependent manner in the majority of PwPD (17/20 participants) and in the healthy control group (16/20 participants). While EVR latencies did not differ between groups, EVR magnitudes were, on average, even larger in the PD group compared to the HC group. These findings suggest that the output capacity of the EVR network is spared in PD, consistent with recent findings from the upper limb in PD (Gilchrist et al., 2024). Intriguingly, the average EVR magnitude of the subgroup of mildly affected PwPD (MDS-UPDRS part III scores of 12–18) was on average higher (~ 0.12 a.u.) than the mean EVR magnitude of the healthy control group (0.08 a.u.), suggesting that EVRs may be upregulated in the early stages of the disease, potentially as part of the greater speed-accuracy tradeoff as discussed above and as previously reported (Fookien et al., 2022; Gilchrist et al., 2024). Effectively, in the early stages of PD, we speculate that the top-down shift in favor of speed over accuracy (green plus in Fig. 7B) is sufficient to overcome any disease-related degeneration in the basal ganglia (red box in Fig. 7B). Upregulation of the EVRs may be a mechanism to compensate for some of the early motor deficits that originate in more severely affected areas.

As in younger individuals (Billen et al., 2023), EVR expression in both PwPD and HC is suppressed when postural demands are high. EVRs are counterproductive on anteromedial steps, as they propel the center of mass forward. As also seen in a younger cohort (Billen et al., 2023), most participants from both groups expressed EVRs on the slow half of trials in anteromedial stepping, which required larger and longer lasting APAs in the stepping leg, and consequently longer stepping reaction times. This suggests that the (presumable) top-down suppression of EVRs occasionally lapsed on a subset of trials in most participants, but we did not see any effect of disease. It is important to note that due to the blocked design of the current task, participants were able to proactively prepare for the expected postural demands of the upcoming step. It would be of interest to investigate disease-related effects of the contextual interaction between EVRs and postural control in an intermixed design, where postural demands are manipulated on a trial-to-trial basis. In such an unpredictable context, behavioral and EVR-related differences between PwPD and the HC control group may start to emerge, as recent evidence suggests that increased task demands in PwPD hampers their ability to contextually suppress or govern the EVR in the upper limb (Gilchrist et al., 2024).

Despite the present and other recent results suggesting general sparing of the fast visuomotor network in PD, we found that EVR magnitude progressively declined as disease severity increased, albeit without significant differences in MDS-UPDRS part III scores between participants with PD who expressed EVRs and those who did not. While the exact neuropathological mechanisms of this progressive decline remain to be established, our general framework (Fig. 7B) suggests that decreasing EVR magnitude could arise from progressive pathology to the core circuits underlying the EVR that can no longer be overcome by top-down modulation, and/or from the weakening of such top-down modulatory inputs to the tectoreticulospinal circuit. In the current study, we cannot discriminate between these two possibilities, so this remains an essential question for future research.

4.3. Increased error rates during anteromedial stepping reflect competition between postural and fast visuomotor circuitries

Notably, error rates were significantly lower during anterolateral stepping compared to anteromedial stepping. During anteromedial

stepping, the fast visuomotor network is typically suppressed to prioritize anticipatory postural adjustments (APAs) due to increased postural demands. Temporary lapses in this suppression can trigger reflexive EVRs, causing premature foot-offs that are aborted when balance requirements are unmet, which are registered as errors. We previously reported that EVR expression in this context is associated with larger APA amplitudes and delayed step initiation (Billen et al., 2023), supporting the idea of competition between postural circuits generating APAs and (presumably) subcortical areas mediating EVRs. This observation is analogous to what has been observed in upper-limb anti-reach tasks which require reaching movements away from the presented target. There too, competition between the stimulus-driven subcortical responses and higher-order cognitive intentions leads to increased error rates (Gilchrist et al., 2024; Gu et al., 2016).

4.4. Healthy elderly participants performed worse compared to healthy young participants

Compared to a cohort of younger participants from a previous study ($M_{Age} = 23.3$ years; Billen et al., 2023), the current cohort of healthy elderly participants exhibited EVRs at a lower prevalence (80 % of participants here, compared to 100 % in previous study), and longer latencies (~ 120 ms in the elderly participants here, compared to ~ 108 ms in the previous study). The elderly also initiated steps more slowly (average RT in anterolateral stepping of 399 ms compared to 314 ms in previous study), while making more errors, especially during anteromedial stepping (8.4 % here compared to 4.3 % in the previous study). Several factors could contribute to these disparities. Firstly, it is plausible that age-related physiological changes (e.g. weaker muscles, cognitive decline, slower sensory integration; for review, see Osoba et al., 2019) may have rendered older participants physically less capable of performing as robustly as the younger participants, similar to previous studies reporting age-related changes in gait- and balance-related behavior (Boyer et al., 2023; Dewolf et al., 2021; Reimann et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2021). Additionally, psychological factors might come into play, as with age, individuals may prioritize safe stepping due to the potentially greater consequences of falls. This heightened focus on maintaining balance could explain why EVRs are generally weaker and less prevalent in the elderly, which contributes to the longer stepping reaction times. However, this cautious approach, if taken to extremes, might lead to inflexibility in adapting to sudden environmental changes, which could increase the risk of falls (Weerdesteijn et al., 2004). Understanding these dynamics is important for improving our understanding of motor behavior across different age groups and may inform strategies for fall prevention in elderly populations.

4.5. Limitations

While we aimed to include a broad range of disease severity among the PwPD, most individuals in our sample fell within the mild to moderate range. It would be of interest to investigate how EVR expression presents in even more severely affected individuals, and we would expect EVR magnitudes to further decrease or even fully vanish as the fast visuomotor network would further degenerate. However, participants must still be able to perform the task with relative comfort and stability. Although safety is ensured by a harness, the experience of falling, even when protected, may be uncomfortable and hinder optimal task performance. To mitigate this, it may be necessary to reduce trial numbers or provide additional balance support. It should also be noted that PwPD were not asked to deviate from their usual medication schedule in the current experiment, meaning that all participants generally performed the tasks in the ON state. This approach contrasts with previous studies on upper limb EVRs (Gilchrist et al., 2024) and other research on online corrections (Desmurget et al., 2004), where participants performed the experiment while in the OFF state. Of note,

Merritt et al. (2017) investigated the effect of dopaminergic therapy on fast online corrections, reporting only minor effects. Because not all movement symptoms are affected equally by dopaminergic therapy, it is plausible that the rapid, stimulus-driven and goal-directed movements used in these types of studies are less sensitive to dopaminergic medication. In the context of stepping, it would be valuable for future research to investigate the effect of dopaminergic medication on EVR expression and postural control, as this could provide deeper insights into the role of medication in these processes.

4.6. Conclusion

Here we provide compelling evidence that, at least in the context of a rapid, goal-directed stepping task used here, the participants with PD were able to show unaffected stepping behavior. This is remarkable, given the deficits experienced in daily life and the large disease severity range present in the current study. We speculate that the nature of our stepping task resembled a visual cueing task, which has previously been shown to help in overcoming some of the deficits experienced in daily life. Future research should focus on the specific context-dependent variables that promote the overcoming of impaired stepping behavior, potentially in even more severely affected participants, such as PwPD that experience freezing of gait.

We also demonstrate relatively spared EVRs in people with PD during step initiation. Especially in the early stages of the disease, EVR output may in fact be upregulated, potentially to compensate for more affected aspects of the motor output. Together with evidence for EVR expression on upper limb muscles, this further provides insight into the neuropathological mechanisms underlying the fast visuomotor network. Future studies may investigate the role of this network in more complex contexts that more closely resemble the unpredictability of our dynamic environment.

Funding

This work was supported by a Donders Centre for Medical Neuroscience (DCMN) grant to BDC and VW.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgements

Thank you to Ilse Giesbers for helping during the early stages of the project.

References

- Billen, L.S., Corneil, B.D., Weerdesteyn, V., 2023. Evidence for an intricate relationship between express visuomotor responses, postural control and rapid step initiation in the lower limbs. *Neuroscience*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2023.07.025>.
- Bloem, B.R., Grimbergen, Y.A.M., van Dijk, J.G., Munneke, M., 2006. The 'posture second' strategy: a review of wrong priorities in Parkinson's disease. *J. Neurol. Sci.* 248 (1–2), 196–204. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jns.2006.05.010>.
- Boehnke, S.E., Munoz, D.P., 2008. On the importance of the transient visual response in the superior colliculus. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* 18 (6), 544–551. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2008.11.004>.
- Borm, C.D.J.M., De Graaf, D., Bloem, B.R., Theelen, T., Hoyn, C., de Vries, N., Weerdesteyn, V., 2024. Gait Adaptability and the effect of ocular disorders on visually guided walking in Parkinson's disease. *J. Parkinson's Disease* 14 (3), 601–607. <https://doi.org/10.3233/JPD-230025>.
- Boyer, K.A., Hayes, K.L., Umberger, B.R., Adamczyk, P.G., Bean, J.F., Brach, J.S., Clark, B.C., Clark, D.J., Ferrucci, L., Finley, J., Franz, J.R., Golightly, Y.M., Hortobágyi, T., Hunter, S., Narici, M., Nicklas, B., Roberts, T., Sawicki, G., Simonsick, E., Kent, J.A., 2023. Age-related changes in gait biomechanics and their impact on the metabolic cost of walking: report from a national institute on aging workshop. *Exp. Gerontol.* 173, 112102. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exger.2023.112102>.
- Braak, H., Rüb, U., Gai, W.P., Del Tredici, K., 2003. Idiopathic Parkinson's disease: Possible routes by which vulnerable neuronal types may be subject to neuroinvasion by an unknown pathogen. *J. Neural Transmission (Vienna, Austria)* 110(5), 517–536. DOI: 10.1007/s00702-002-0808-2.
- Caetano, M.J.D., Lord, S.R., Allen, N.E., Brodie, M.A., Song, J., Paul, S.S., Canning, C.G., Menant, J.C., 2018. Stepping reaction time and gait adaptability are significantly impaired in people with Parkinson's disease: Implications for fall risk. *Parkinsonism Relat. Disord.* 47, 32–38. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parkreldis.2017.11.340>.
- Clarke, C.E., 2007. Parkinson's disease. *BMJ: Brit. Med. J.* 335 (7617), 441–445. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.39289.437454.AD>.
- Contemori, S., Loeb, G.E., Corneil, B.D., Wallis, G., Carroll, T.J., 2021a. The influence of temporal predictability on express visuomotor responses. *J. Neurophysiol.* 125 (3), 731–747. <https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00521.2020>.
- Contemori, S., Loeb, G.E., Corneil, B.D., Wallis, G., Carroll, T.J., 2021b. Trial-by-trial modulation of express visuomotor responses induced by symbolic or barely detectable cues. *J. Neurophysiol.* <https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00053.2021>.
- Contemori, S., Loeb, G.E., Corneil, B.D., Wallis, G., Carroll, T.J., 2023. Express visuomotor responses reflect knowledge of both target locations and contextual rules during reaches of different amplitudes. *J. Neurosci.* 43 (42), 7041–7055. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2069-22.2023>.
- Contreras, A., Grandas, F., 2012. Risk of falls in Parkinson's disease: a cross-sectional study of 160 patients. *Parkinson's Disease* 2012, 362572. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/362572>.
- Corneil, B.D., Munoz, D.P., 2014. Overt responses during covert orienting. *Neuron* 82 (6), 1230–1243. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2014.05.040>.
- Corneil, B.D., Olivier, E., Munoz, D.P., 2004. Visual responses on neck muscles reveal selective gating that prevents express saccades. *Neuron* 42 (5), 831–841. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.s0896-6273\(04\)00267-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.s0896-6273(04)00267-3).
- Cosentino, C., Putzolu, M., Mezzarobba, S., Cecchella, M., Innocenti, T., Bonassi, G., Botta, A., Lagravinese, G., Avanzino, L., Pelosin, E., 2023. One cue does not fit all: a systematic review with meta-analysis of the effectiveness of cueing on freezing of gait in Parkinson's disease. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 150, 105189. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2023.105189>.
- Cursiol, J.A., dos Santos, P.C.R., Beretta, V.S., Orcioli-Silva, D., Simieli, L., Schlenstedt, C., Coelho, D.B., Barbieri, F.A., 2025. Rhythmic auditory cues improve gait asymmetry during unobstructed walking in people with Parkinson's disease but have no effect on obstacle avoidance—AsymmGait-Parkinson study. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* 17, 1455432. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnagi.2025.1455432>.
- Day, B.L., Brown, P., 2001. Evidence for subcortical involvement in the visual control of human reaching. *Brain* 124 (9), 1832–1840. <https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/124.9.1832>.
- Desmurget, M., Gaveau, V., Vindras, P., Turner, R.S., Broussolle, E., Thobois, S., 2004. On-line motor control in patients with Parkinson's disease. *Brain J. Neurol.* 127 (Pt 8), 1755–1773. <https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awh206>.
- Dewolf, A.H., Sylos-Labini, F., Cappellini, G., Zhvansky, D., Willems, P.A., Ivanenko, Y., Lacquaniti, F., 2021. Neuromuscular age-related adjustment of gait when moving upwards and downwards. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 15. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2021.749366>.
- Diederich, N.J., Stebbins, G., Schiltz, C., Goetz, C.G., 2014. Are patients with Parkinson's disease blind to blindsight? *Brain* 137 (6), 1838–1849. <https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awu094>.
- Fautrelle, L., Prablan, C., Berret, B., Ballay, Y., Bonnetblanc, F., 2010. Pointing to double-step visual stimuli from a standing position: very short latency (express) corrections are observed in upper and lower limbs and may not require cortical involvement. *Neuroscience* 169 (2), 697–705. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2010.05.014>.
- Fookien, J., Patel, P., Jones, C.B., McKeown, M.J., Spering, M., 2022. Preservation of eye movements in Parkinson's disease is stimulus- and task-specific. *J. Neurosci.* 42 (3), 487–499.
- Geerse, D.J., Roerdink, M., Marinus, J., van Hilten, J.J., 2018. Assessing walking adaptability in Parkinson's disease: 'the interactive walkway'. *Front. Neurol.* 9, 1096. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2018.01096>.
- Gilchrist, M., Kozak, R.A., Prenger, M., Anello, M., Hedger, K.V., MacDonald, P., Corneil, B.D., 2024. Parkinson's Disease affects the contextual control, but not the expression, of a rapid visuomotor response that initiates visually-guided reaching: Evidence for multiple, interacting motor pathways and implications for motor symptoms in Parkinson's Disease (p. 2024.11.27.625399). *bioRxiv*. DOI: 10.1101/2024.11.27.625399.
- Gu, C., Wood, D.K., Gribble, P.L., Corneil, B.D., 2016. A trial-by-trial window into sensorimotor transformations in the human motor periphery. *J. Neurosci.* 36 (31), 8273–8282. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0899-16.2016>.
- Halliday, S.E., Winter, D.A., Frank, J.S., Patla, A.E., Prince, F., 1998. The initiation of gait in young, elderly, and Parkinson's disease subjects. *Gait Posture* 8 (1), 8–14. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0966-6362\(98\)00020-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0966-6362(98)00020-4).
- Hass, C.J., Waddell, D.E., Fleming, R.P., Juncos, J.L., Gregor, R.J., 2005. Gait initiation and dynamic balance control in Parkinson's disease. *Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil.* 86 (11), 2172–2176. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2005.05.013>.
- Hermens, H.J., Merletti, R., Freriks, Bart, 1999. SENIAM: European recommendations for surface electromyography. Roessingh Research and Development.
- Jiang, Y., Norman, K.E., 2006. Effects of visual and auditory cues on gait initiation in people with Parkinson's disease. *Clin. Rehabil.* 20 (1), 36–45. <https://doi.org/10.1191/0269215506cr925oa>.

- Jubault, T., Brambati, S.M., Degroot, C., Kullmann, B., Strafella, A.P., Lafontaine, A.-L., Chouinard, S., Monchi, O., 2009. Regional brain stem atrophy in idiopathic Parkinson's disease detected by anatomical MRI. *PLoS One* 4 (12), e8247.
- Kozak, R.A., Cecala, A.L., Corneil, B.D., 2020. An emerging target paradigm to evoke fast visuomotor responses on human upper limb muscles. *JoVE (J. Visual. Exp.)* 162, e61428. <https://doi.org/10.3791/61428>.
- Kozak, R.A., Corneil, B.D., 2021. High-contrast, moving targets in an emerging target paradigm promote fast visuomotor responses during visually guided reaching. *J. Neurophysiol.* 126 (1), 68–81. <https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00057.2021>.
- Kozak, R.A., Kreyenmeier, P., Gu, C., Johnston, K., Corneil, B.D., 2019. Stimulus-locked responses on human upper limb muscles and corrective reaches are preferentially evoked by low spatial frequencies. *eNeuro* 6 (5). <https://doi.org/10.1523/ENEURO.0301-19.2019>.
- Lin, C.-C., Creath, R.A., Rogers, M.W., 2016. Variability of anticipatory postural adjustments during gait initiation in individuals with Parkinson's disease. *J. Neurol. Phys. Ther. JNPT* 40 (1), 40. <https://doi.org/10.1097/NPT.0000000000000112>.
- Merritt, K.E., Seergobin, K.N., Mendonça, D.A., Jenkins, M.E., Goodale, M.A., MacDonald, P.A., 2017. Automatic online motor control is intact in Parkinson's disease with and without perceptual awareness. *eNeuro* 4 (5). <https://doi.org/10.1523/ENEURO.0215-17.2017>.
- Munoz, D.P., Dorris, M.C., Paré, M., Everling, S., 2000. On your mark, get set: brainstem circuitry underlying saccadic initiation. *Can. J. Physiol. Pharmacol.* 78 (11), 934–944.
- Nieuwboer, A., Rochester, L., Herman, T., Vandenberghe, W., Emil, G.E., Thomaes, T., Giladi, N., 2009. Reliability of the new freezing of gait questionnaire: agreement between patients with Parkinson's disease and their carers. *Gait Post.* 30 (4), 459–463. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaitpost.2009.07.108>.
- Osoba, M.Y., Rao, A.K., Agrawal, S.K., Lalwani, A.K., 2019. Balance and gait in the elderly: a contemporary review. *Laryngoscope Investigat. Otolaryngol.* 4 (1), 143–153. <https://doi.org/10.1002/liv.2.252>.
- Palakurthi, B., Burugupally, S.P., 2019. Postural instability in Parkinson's disease: a review. *Brain Sci.* 9 (9), 239. <https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci9090239>.
- Pruszynski, J.A., King, G.L., Boisse, L., Scott, S.H., Flanagan, J.R., Munoz, D.P., 2010. Stimulus-locked responses on human arm muscles reveal a rapid neural pathway linking visual input to arm motor output. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 32 (6), 1049–1057. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-9568.2010.07380.x>.
- Queral, A., Weerdesteyn, V., van Duijnhoven, H.J.R., Castellote, J.M., Valls-Solé, J., Duysens, J., 2008. The effects of an auditory startle on obstacle avoidance during walking. *J. Physiol.* 586 (Pt 18), 4453–4463. <https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2008.156042>.
- Rajachandrakumar, R., Fraser, J.E., Schinkel-Ivy, A., Inness, E.L., Biasin, L., Brunton, K., McIlroy, W.E., Mansfield, A., 2017. Atypical anticipatory postural adjustments during gait initiation among individuals with subacute stroke. *Gait Posture* 52 (1), 325–331. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaitpost.2016.12.020>.
- Reimann, H., Ramadan, R., Fietrow, T., Hafer, J.F., Geyer, H., Jeka, J.J., 2020. Interactions between different age-related factors affecting balance control in walking. *Front. Sports Active Living* 2. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fspor.2020.00094>.
- Reynolds, R.F., Day, B.L., 2005. Rapid visuo-motor processes drive the leg regardless of balance constraints. *Curr. Biol.* 15 (2), R48–R49. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2004.12.051>.
- Reynolds, R.F., Day, B.L., 2007. Fast visuomotor processing made faster by sound. *J. Physiol.* 583 (3), 1107–1115. <https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2007.136192>.
- Rezvani, S., Corneil, B.D., 2008. Recruitment of a head-turning synergy by low-frequency activity in the primate superior colliculus. *J. Neurophysiol.* 100 (1), 397–411. <https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.90223.2008>.
- Russo, Y., Stuart, S., Silva-Batista, C., Brumbach, B., Vannozzi, G., Mancini, M., 2022. Does visual cueing improve gait initiation in people with Parkinson's disease? *Hum. Mov. Sci.* 84, 102970. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.humov.2022.102970>.
- Seidel, K., Mahlke, J., Siswanto, S., Krüger, R., Heinsen, H., Auburger, G., Bouzrou, M., Grinberg, L.T., Wicht, H., Korf, H.-W., den Dunnen, W., Rüb, U., 2015. The brainstem pathologies of Parkinson's disease and dementia with Lewy bodies. *Brain Pathology (Zurich, Switzerland)* 25 (2), 121–135. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bpa.12168>.
- Tosserams, A., Weerdesteyn, V., Bal, T., Bloem, B.R., Solis-Escalante, T., Nonnekens, J., 2022. Cortical correlates of gait compensation strategies in Parkinson disease. *Ann. Neurol.* 91 (3), 329–341. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.26306>.
- Weerdesteyn, V., Nienhuis, B., Hampsink, B., Duysens, J., 2004. Gait adjustments in response to an obstacle are faster than voluntary reactions. *Hum. Mov. Sci.* 23 (3), 351–363. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.humov.2004.08.011>.
- Wood, D.K., Gu, C., Corneil, B.D., Gribble, P.L., Goodale, M.A., 2015. Transient visual responses reset the phase of low-frequency oscillations in the skeletomotor periphery. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 42 (3), 1919–1932. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ejn.12976>.
- Zhang, Y., Smeets, J.B.J., Brenner, E., Verschueren, S., Duysens, J., 2021. Effects of ageing on responses to stepping-target displacements during walking. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol.* 121 (1), 127–140. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-020-04504-4>.