Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Neuroscience

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/nsc

Research Article

Cortical dynamics underlying initiation of rapid steps with contrasting postural demands

Ilse Giesbers^{a,1,*}, Lucas Billen^{a,1}, Joris van der Cruijsen^a, Brian D. Corneil^{b,c,d}, Vivian Weerdesteyn^{a,e}

^a Department of Rehabilitation – Donders Institute for Brain, Cognition & Behavior, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, NL, Nethelands

^b Department of Physiology & Pharmacology, Western University, London, CA, USA

^c Department of Psychology, Western University, London, CA, USA

^d Robarts Research Institute, London, CA, USA

^e Sint Maartenskliniek Research, Nijmegen, NL, Nethelands

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Step initiation Postural control Express visuomotor responses Anticipatory Postural Adjustments Electromyography Electroencephalography

ABSTRACT

Our ability to flexibly initiate rapid visually-guided stepping movements can be measured in the form of express visuomotor responses (EVRs), which are short-latency (\sim 100 ms), goal-directed bursts of lower-limb muscle activity. Interestingly, we previously demonstrated that recruitment of anticipatory postural adjustments (APAs) interacted with the subcortically-generated EVRs in the lower limb, suggesting context-dependent top-down modulation.

We investigated the associated cortical dynamics prior to and during rapid step initiation towards a salient visual target in twenty-one young, healthy individuals while stepping under varying postural demands. We recorded high-density EEG, surface electromyography from gluteus medius and ground-reaction forces.

Independent component analysis and time-frequency statistics revealed significant, yet relatively modest differences between conditions in preparatory cortical dynamics, most evidently in primary motor areas. Following target presentation, we observed stronger theta and alpha power enhancement in the supplementary motor area, and stronger alpha and beta power decrease in primary motor, parietal and occipital clusters during APA recruitment that preceded steps under high postural demands. Side-specific changes in motor cortex lagged the timing of EVR expression, supporting the EVR's purportedly subcortical origin. Together, our findings point towards greater cortical involvement in step initiation under high postural demands as compared to more reflexive, stimulus-driven steps. These findings may be particularly relevant for populations where postural control is impaired by age or disease, as more cortical resources may need to be allocated during stepping.

Introduction

Every day, we take thousands of steps without realizing the complex mechanisms underlying each step. Yet even before we start lifting the foot, goal-directed stepping movements are commonly preceded by socalled anticipatory postural adjustments (APAs). APAs involve coordinated activation various muscles that induce a centre of mass shift forwards and towards the stance leg before unloading of the stepping leg (Bouisset & Zattara, 1981; Brenière & Do, 1986, 1991; Carlsöö, 1966; Crenna & Frigo, 1991). The APA is modulated according to the speed, size and direction of the upcoming movement to ensure balance during the step (Bancroft & Day, 2016; Caderby et al., 2013; Inaba et al., 2020).

APAs can be initiated rapidly following stimulus presentation, but intriguingly, we recently demonstrated that a highly-salient visual target can evoke even faster visuomotor transformations that oppose the APA (Billen et al., 2023). Specifically, bursts of muscle activity in stance-leg gluteus medius occurred in a target-selective manner at average latencies of 107 ms following left or right visual stimulus appearance, which facilitated rapid goal-directed stepping movements in the absence of an APA. These so-called Express Visuomotor Responses (EVRs; formerly called 'stimulus-locked responses') have been studied extensively in visually-guided upper-extremity movements (Corneil et al.,

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2025.04.026

Received 10 December 2024; Accepted 13 April 2025

Available online 17 April 2025

0306-4522/© 2025 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of International Brain Research Organization (IBRO). This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).



^{*} Corresponding author.

E-mail address: ilse.giesbers@radboudumc.nl (I. Giesbers).

¹ Both authors contributed equally.

2004; Gu et al., 2016; Pruszynski et al., 2010) and are thought to be transmitted to the motor periphery along the subcortical tecto-reticulospinal pathway that originates in the superior colliculus (Boehnke & Munoz, 2008; Contemori et al., 2021b; Corneil et al., 2004; Corneil & Munoz, 2014; Pruszynski et al., 2010; Rezvani & Corneil, 2008).

While these visuomotor transformations are thought to be generated reflexively, the involved subcortical network is highly adaptive. For example, EVR output can be modulated by varying the temporal predictability of target appearance (Contemori et al., 2021a) or by changing the task instructions given to the participant (Contemori et al., 2023; Gu et al., 2016). Interestingly, stepping-related EVR expression was found to be modulated by the postural demands of the task (Billen et al., 2023). In this previous study, the postural demands of the upcoming step were manipulated by varying target location and stance width. In a low postural demand condition, where participants stepped forward and outward towards the target from a narrow stance width, EVRs were robustly expressed and facilitated rapid step initiation, while targetselective APAs were generally absent. In contrast, when participants stepped forward and inward from a wide stance width (i.e. the high postural demand condition), large target-selective APAs (i.e. for inducing a mediolateral centre of mass shift towards the stance limb), were present prior to foot-off, while EVRs were largely absent. Yet, when EVRs were occasionally present in this condition, they were followed by stronger, but delayed APAs with consequently longer stepping reaction times. These collective findings highlight the intricate interplay between EVR and APA expression, however, the neural mechanisms underlying their contextual modulation remain poorly understood.

Here, we aimed to gain insight into top-down modulation of fast goal-directed leg movements and postural control by studying cortical activity during step initiation towards a salient visual target. We used the same paradigm and postural demand manipulations as described previously (Billen et al., 2023). Additionally, high-density electroencephalography (EEG) was measured to uncover the dynamics of multiple cortical areas involved in proactive and reactive modulation of APA and EVR expression. We expected to find proactive differences (i.e. before stepping target appearance), because participants knew in advance (at least implicitly) if the postural demands of the upcoming step would be high or low. Reactive (i.e. after stepping target appearance) differences in cortical dynamics were expected between the postural demand conditions, reflecting contextual inhibition/facilitation of EVR and APA recruitment and ensuing differences in stepping behaviour.

Materials and methods

Participants

A total of 21 healthy young individuals (14 female; 7 male, age: 24.5 \pm 2.0 years, range: 20–27) participated in this single-session study. Prospective participants were included in the study if they were aged between 18 and 35 years old and had a Body Mass Index of < 25, to ensure high-quality EMG recordings. Exclusion criteria were visual, neurological or musculoskeletal disorders that may interfere with experimental task performance; behavioural problems interfering with compliance with the study protocol or pregnancy.

The study protocol was approved by the medical ethical committee (CMO Arnhem-Nijmegen, 2023–16109) and the study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. All participants provided written informed consent before participation and were free to withdraw from the study at any time.

Protocol

Experimental set-up

The experiment was performed using a Gait Real-time Analysis Interactive Lab (GRAIL, Motek Medical, The Netherlands), which is situated at the Department of Rehabilitation at the Radboud University Medical Center. The set-up included an M-gait dual-belt treadmill with two embedded force plates (GRAIL, Motek Medical, The Netherlands) to measure ground reaction forces (sampled at 2000 Hz), a projector (Optoma, United Kingdom) to project the visual stimuli at 60 Hz and a photodiode (TSL250R-LF, TAOS, United States of America) to measure the exact moment of stepping target appearance.

Further, electromyography (EMG) was recorded at 2000 Hz using a Wave Wireless electromyography system (Wave Wireless EMG, Cometa, Italy) from bilateral Gluteus Medius (GM) using Ag/AgCl surface electrodes. These were placed in accordance with the SENIAM guidelines, by placing the electrodes halfway on the line from the crista iliaca to the trochanter (Hermens et al., 1999). EMG signal quality was checked online after placement by asking the participants to make a hip abduction movement (GM activation). A biosignal amplifier (REFA System, TMSi, The Netherlands) recorded high-density electroencephalography (EEG) from 126 scalp locations (Waveguard, ANT Neuro, The Netherlands) according to the five percent system (Oostenveld & Praamstra, 2001) at 2048 Hz without any filters, except for a built-in anti-aliasing filter at 552 Hz. Electrode impedances were kept below 10 k Ω . Using adhesive Ag/AgCl electrodes, the ground electrode was placed on the left mastoid and two electrodes were placed slightly above the nasion and at the outer cantus of the left eve to measure electrooculographic signals. EEG signals were referenced to the common average during acquisition. Trials were started manually by the experimenter via the D-flow software (Motek Medical, the Netherlands). All reported measures (i.e. force plate data, EMG and EEG) were aligned to the moment of target appearance as detected by the photodiode.

Experimental paradigm

Participants were instructed to divide their weight equally between their legs at the initial position indicated by the projection of small circles onto the treadmill (Fig. 1). At the start of a trial, a white, circular target (15 cm in diameter) was projected approximately 130 cm in front of the participant. After 1000 ms, the target started to move towards a projected occluder (750 ms) and disappeared behind it while the target retained its speed (750 ms) (i.e. *preparatory phase*, Fig. 1). Once the invisible target reached the base of the occluder, the target reappeared as a flash (for a duration of 48 ms) in front of the participant's left or right leg and the participant stepped as fast as possible onto the target with the respective leg and placed the other leg alongside (i.e. *step initiation phase*, Fig. 1).

We adopted two different stepping conditions with contrasting balance demands. In the anterolateral stepping condition, participants stood in a narrow stance width (feet 23 cm apart) and stepped forward and outward towards an anterolateral target presented 29 cm from the middle line of the treadmill. In the anteromedial stepping condition, participants started at a wide stance width (feet 34 cm apart) and stepped forward and inward towards an anteromedial target, presented 9 cm from the middle line (Fig. 1).

The participants started with a few practice trials to familiarize themselves with the task. The experiment was divided into 4 blocks of 75 stepping trials. Two blocks consisted of exclusively anterolateral targets and the other two blocks consisted of exclusively anteromedial targets. The order of the blocks was counterbalanced between individuals and target side (left/right) was randomized in each trial.

Data processing

All data (EMG, force plate and EEG) were analysed in MATLAB (Version 2020B, The Mathworks, Inc., USA) using custom scripts and were grouped based on target location and target side, which led to four different conditions (i.e. left-anterolateral, left-anteromedial, right-anterolateral, right-anteromedial). Incorrect trials were excluded from all analyses (EEG, EMG and force plate) and were defined as trials in which participants stepped towards the wrong direction or initiated a stepping movement with the contralateral foot. Further, trials were



Fig. 1. Set up of the experimental paradigm: The paradigm was projected onto the (stationary) treadmill. Participants placed their feet on two projected dots with varying stance width (23 cm apart in anterolateral stepping, 34 cm apart in anteromedial stepping). Force plates are embedded in the treadmill.

excluded from the analysis if participants showed a stepping reaction time of less than 200 ms, as such response times indicate a person would have guessed the stepping side and already initiated the movement before trial onset. Trials were also excluded from analysis if the participants exhibited reaction times slower than 1000 ms.

EMG data processing

The raw EMG signals were band-pass filtered between 20 Hz and 450 Hz and subsequently rectified and low-passed filtered at 150 Hz using a second-order Butterworth filter. These filters were chosen, because the usable energy of the EMG signal is dominant between 50 and 150 Hz (De Luca, 2002). Like prior studies studying EVRs (Billen et al., 2023; Gu et al., 2016; Kozak et al., 2020), a receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis was performed to determine the presence and latency of the EVRs. EVR latency was defined at the time at which the AUC surpassed the discrimination threshold (0.6) and remained above this threshold for 16 out of 20 consecutive samples within the predefined EVR epoch of 100 to 140 ms after target reappearance.

Regardless of whether an EVR was found, the response magnitude in the EVR window was computed for every condition within every participant. The mean EMG activity of the 20 ms window, which was centered around the maximum EMG activity during the EVR epoch (100–140 ms), was determined on a single trial basis. Magnitudes were then normalized to the median peak EMG activity during anteromedial stepping (in the interval from 140 ms to foot-off). The average EMG magnitudes for each condition were then calculated.

Force plate data processing

The raw force plate data was used to determine stepping reaction times (i.e. the time between target reappearance and the moment of foot-off of the stepping leg). Average stepping reaction times were calculated for each condition and stepping side. Target-selective APA onset was calculated based on the stepping-side and stance-side force plate data using a time-series ROC analysis, whereby the onset was defined as the time at which the AUC surpassed the discrimination threshold (0.6) for 8 out of 10 consecutive samples within the 100–300 ms window following target reappearance. APA magnitude was defined as the baseline-corrected difference between the mean maximum

vertical ground reaction force component (Fz) underneath the stepping leg and the corresponding vertical ground reaction force underneath the stance leg in the interval from 140 ms after target appearance (i.e., the end of the EVR window) and foot off, normalized to percent total body weight (%BW). APA magnitude was calculated regardless of whether the ROC analysis identified the presence of an APA.

EEG data preprocessing

For analysing the EEG data, we used custom scripts and incorporating functions from EEGLAB (Version 2023.0; Delorme and Makeig, 2004). EEG data were band-pass filtered between 1 Hz and 200 Hz using a 4th-order Butterworth filter (Finite Impulse Response) with a zerophase shift. Additional notch filters were applied to reduce the line noise of 50 Hz and its harmonics of 100 and 150 Hz. For each EEG channel, z-scores were calculated from the sum of each channel signal over time. Channels with z-scores > 1.96 were considered outliers and were rejected. Furthermore, channels with a probability and kurtosis measure exceeding 6 standard deviations from the mean were rejected. Data were visually inspected for flat channels and were also removed. On average, a total of 20 channels (SD = 11.2) were removed per participant and the remaining EEG channels were re-referenced to the common average. Next, the EEG data were segmented in epochs of -3.5to +1 s relative to the target reappearance. Epochs consisting of wrong, too slow or too fast steps (for more information, refer to section Data Processing) were removed. Next, automated artefact rejection was performed by calculating the joint probability of all channels at each timepoint (local and global threshold of 6) and rejecting epochs with exceeding a probability of 6 times the standard deviation. On average, 10 % of all trials were removed (M = 31, SD = 21.7) for each participant.

Source separation

Subsequently, data were downsampled to 256 Hz to speed up data processing. An Infomax Independent Component Analysis (ICA) was performed on the preprocessed EEG data to isolate and extract contributions of different underlying cortical regions giving rise to the EEG signal, while separating the influence of artefactual signals, such as eye movements and muscle activity. This approach is in line with other studies on cortical dynamics during whole-body movements (Gwin et al., 2011; Solis-Escalante et al., 2019; Wagner et al., 2016).

Next, locations and orientations of the underlying neural activity were estimated by fitting an equivalent current dipole to the scalp projection of each Independent Component (IC) using the DIPFIT toolbox (Delorme & Makeig, 2004; Oostendorp & Oosterom, 1989). A standardized three-shell boundary element head model consisting of standard scalp, skull and brain conductivities (0.33 S/m, 0.0041 S/m and 0.33 S/m, respectively; Oostenveld et al., 2003) and standard electrode positions were used to find the location and orientation of the cortical sources. Independent components related to eye movements and muscle artifacts were identified through visual inspection of their power spectra, scalp topography and location of their associated equivalent current dipole. Using EEGLAB's IClabel, we identified ICs related to brain activity, showing typical EEG power spectra and equivalent current dipole inside the head. ICs with low residual variance (< 15 %) were further analysed. This resulted in an average of 10 components per participant (SD = 3.73).

Event-related spectral perturbations

Oscillatory dynamics during the preparation and initiation of a stepping movement were quantified using event-related spectral perturbations (ERSPs), which provide information about how the spectral power is modulated over time relative to an event. Frequency bands were defined as follows: theta (3–8 Hz), alpha (8–13 Hz) and beta (13–30 Hz) (Liu et al., 2024; Zhao et al., 2022). The beta band was subdivided in low beta (beta I: 13 – 18 Hz) and high beta (beta II: 18–30 Hz) (Solis-Escalante et al., 2019). We precomputed component measures using EEGLAB's *pop_precomp* function, using Morlet wavelets (frequencies between 3 and 30 Hz, with 3 cycles at the lowest frequency and increasing cycles linearly by 0.8 with each step, baseline between –3500 and –2700 ms).

Subsequently, ICs of each participant were clustered using principal component analysis with k-means algorithm and were clustered based on similarities in their spatial location of their associated current dipole. The number of clusters was set as the average number of ICs for each participant (n = 10). ICs that were more than three standard deviations away from the cluster centroid were identified as outliers and removed. If clusters held more ICs from one participant, we selected the IC with the lowest residual variance to prevent artificially inflating the sample size. Clusters that contained ICs of more than half of the participants were further analysed (\geq 11). Further, we computed power spectral densities of each cluster to identify clusters showing spectral changes compared to baseline.

Statistical analysis

Behavioural measures

After processing the EMG data and performing an ROC analysis, average EVR onset times and EVR magnitudes were calculated for each condition and stepping side. Paired samples t-tests were performed to study differences between stepping conditions and sides.

Similarly, to the EMG data, APA latencies, magnitudes and stepping reaction times for each stepping condition and stepping side were calculated based on the force plate data. Paired samples t-tests were performed to study differences between stepping conditions and sides.

Cortical dynamics

For each cluster that met the requirements, we evaluated differences in cortical activity between anterolateral and anteromedial stepping. We averaged the time-frequency maps over all ICs in a given cluster, for each stepping condition separately. Next, we subtracted the average ERSP of the anterolateral condition from the average ERSP of the anteromedial condition, to create contrast maps which allowed us to visualize and interpret differences in cortical activity between stepping conditions. We used permutation-based testing (Maris & Oostenveld, 2007) to evaluate differences across stepping conditions (2000 iterations, $\alpha = 0.05$), as was previously done in other studies using EEG measures during whole-body movements (Solis-Escalante et al., 2019). We report both False Discovery Rate (FDR)-corrected (Benjamini & Hochberg, 1995) as well as uncorrected differences between stepping conditions, to avoid being overly conservative and thereby resulting in an increased risk of false negatives (type 2 error).

Results

Any differences between stepping side (left/right) and muscles (left/ right GM) in behavioural and EMG-related outcomes were not significant. We therefore averaged all outcomes across sides.

Behavioural results

Overall, participants' error rates were low, on average 2 % (M = 4.9 trials, SD = 4.6 trials) in all 300 trials combined. Participants made more mistakes in the anteromedial stepping condition (M = 4.3 trials, SD = 3.5 trials) compared to the anterolateral condition (M = 1.1 trials, SD = 1.7 trials).

Furthermore, participants showed an average of 4 trials (M = 4.1, SD = 6.0) with reaction times that were too early (i.e. faster than 200 ms) across all 300 trials. No trials with reaction times slower than 1000 ms were detected.

EMG and force plate data in a representative participant

To demonstrate the timeline of behavioural events, Fig. 2 shows EMG and force plate data of left GM when it is on the stance side (dark blue) and when it is on the stepping side (light blue) during anterolateral stepping (top row) and anteromedial stepping (bottom row) for a representative participant. In anterolateral stepping, stance side GM rapidly becomes active within 120 ms after target onset, whereas the stepping side GM remains relatively silent (first column). The second column shows trial-by-trial EMG traces in the stance leg sorted by stepping reaction time, marked by white dots. This plot illustrates one short-lasting, rapid burst of activity (highlighted by the red box), followed by a more prolonged burst of muscle activity, lasting until footoff. This first muscle activity burst occurs approximately 110 ms after target reappearance and is the EVR. It is time-locked to target reappearance and is relatively fixed in time regardless of the subsequent stepping reaction time. The second burst corresponds to voluntary muscle activation driving the stepping movement. The third column reveals left GM activation when it is on the stepping side, demonstrating its relative silence throughout the trial. The fourth column depicts the average force plate data for both the stepping and stance leg. At ~ 150 ms, the average vertical forces immediately decrease on the stepping side with a concurrent increase underneath the stance leg, indicating the absence of the initial weight shift that typically signifies the execution of an Anticipatory Postural Adjustment (APA).

In the anteromedial stepping condition (bottom row), EMG activity and force plate data are distinctly different. Here, the *stance leg* GM remains relatively silent until shortly before step onset, as indicated by the first column. Yet, inspection of the individual EMG traces of the *stance leg* GM (second column) reveals occasional EVR-type activity that is primarily present on the slower half of trials, which is then promptly suppressed. The individual EMG traces in the *stepping leg* (third column) shows pronounced muscle activity in the stepping leg GM between ~ 150–250 ms. This burst of muscle activity is temporally linked to target selective APA execution, as shown by the increase in average vertical force underneath the stepping leg (fourth column), which pushes the center of mass towards the stance side prior to lifting the foot off the ground at ~ 500 ms.



Fig. 2. Left GM muscle activity, time-series ROC analysis and force plate data of an exemplar participant for anterolateral stepping condition (top row) and anteromedial stepping condition (bottom row). Column 1: ROC analysis (black line) and mean EMG activity in mV for when recorded from stance (dark blue) or stepping leg (light blue), and EVR latency (dashed red vertical line). Column 2 and 3: Trial-by-trial EMG in the left stance leg GM (column 2) and stepping leg GM (column 3), sorted by reaction time (foot-off; white dots), with red box indicating the EVR in the anterolateral stepping condition. Column 4: Mean vertical force (relative to percentage of the participant's body weight) exerted by stance leg (dark blue) and stepping leg (light blue); the initial increase in stepping leg force in the anteromedial condition is the APA. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

Robust EVRs during anterolateral stepping

In line with previous findings (Billen et al., 2023, 2024), we observed robust EVRs in 18 of the 21 participants during anterolateral stepping, for both left GM (16/21) and right GM (17/21) with an average EVR latency of 117 ms (SD = 6 ms). None of the 21 participants exhibited consistent EVRs in the anteromedial stepping condition. In line with observations from the visual inspection of the representative participant and consistent with the absence of detectable EVRs in anteromedial stepping, response magnitudes within the EVR window were significantly higher in anterolateral stepping (M = 0.10, SD = 0.05) compared to anteromedial stepping (M = 0.05, SD = 0.01; t(20) = 5.6, p < 0.001, *Hedges* g = 1.42) (see Fig. 3A).

In order to identify the occasional expression of EVRs in the anteromedial condition, we split the trials in the anteromedial stepping condition into a fast- and a slow-RT half and separately performed the time-series ROC analyses within the EVR window on the two subsets of trials. Replicating our previous findings (Billen et al., 2023), EVRs were present on the slow half of trials in 17 out of the 21 participants in at least one GM (4 with bilateral EVRs).

Prominent APAs and slower reaction times in anteromedial stepping

All participants produced robust and strong target-selective APAs in the anteromedial stepping condition, whereas only a small number of participants produced these during anterolateral stepping (4/21 during leftward steps, 6/21 during rightward steps). In anteromedial stepping, APAs were initiated at 162 ms (SD = 13 ms) after target reappearance, which did not differ significantly from APA onset times in anterolateral stepping (M = 170 ms, SD = 23 ms; t(9) = 1.4, p = 0.20). APA magnitudes were significantly larger in anteromedial stepping (M = 59 %BW, SD = 11 %BW) compared to anterolateral stepping (M = 4 %BW, SD = 7 %BW; t(20) = -24.5; p < 0.001; *Hedge's* g = -5.9; see Fig. 3B).

Overall, stepping reaction times were significantly faster for anterolateral steps (M = 349 ms, SD = 43 ms) than anteromedial steps (M = 488 ms, SD = 43 ms; t(20) = -23.1, p < 0.001, *Hedges* g = -3.35, see Fig. 3C).

Task-related cortical dynamics

Clustering the independent components using k-means algorithm led to 10 IC clusters. Two clusters were not further considered due to the absence of spectral changes compared to baseline (left temporal cluster) and containing ICs from less than half of the participants (right temporal cluster). 8 IC clusters were further considered. Table 1 shows the Talairach coordinates of the cluster centroids, which provide an estimation of the location of the actual cortical sources, constrained by the spatial resolution of the source localization methods (standard electrode positions and standard head model). We found one frontal cluster (located in the anterior cingulate cortex). We found three clusters in



Fig. 3. A-C: EMG and behavioural outcomes of all participants for anterolateral and anteromedial stepping. The dots indicate individual averages, averaged across left and right steps. The density plots indicate the distribution of the data. Asterisks indicate significant differences (p < 0.05). For A and B, EVR and APA magnitudes are shown for all participants, independent of whether the ROC analysis detected the presence of an EVR/APA.

Table 1

Estimated location of clusters centroids.

Lobe	IC Cluster	ICs	Talairach coordinates (x, y, z)	Cortical location	Brodmann area	Colour
Frontal cortex	Anterior cingulate	18	-3, 25, 14	Anterior cingulate (L)	BA 24, range = $1*$	Yellow
Motor cortex	Primary motor area (L)	19	-33, -22, 47	Primary motor area (L)	BA 4	Purple
	Primary motor area (R)	19	37, -14, 53	Primary motor area (R)	BA 4, range = 1^*	Red
	Midline central	17	0, -2, 60	Supplementary motor area	BA 6	White
Parietal cortex	Posterior cingulate	14	11, -40, 27	Ventral posterior cingulate (R)	BA 23	Blue
	Midline parietal	15	3, -51, 49	Visuomotor area (R)	BA 7	Lime
	Posterior parietal	11	34, -68, 27	Angular gyrus (R)	BA 39	Olive
Occipital cortex	Left occipital	12	-19, -75, 29	Left visual association area	BA 19	Aqua
Temporal cortex	Left temporal ^a	12	-46, -16, 7	Left primary auditory	BA 41	_
•	Right temporal ^b	9	39, 1, 10	Right insula	BA 13	_

*Outside of defined BAs, nearest grey matter is noted. Range = 1 means within $5 \times 5 \times 5$ mm search range.

^a Did not display significant spectral changes compared to baseline.

^b Did not meet requirements of number ICs \geq 11.

cortical motor areas: a midline central cluster, which was located in the supplementary motor area and two lateralised clusters, located in the left and right primary motor cortex. We also found three parietal clusters in the posterior cingulate (ventral posterior cingulate), the midline parietal (visuomotor area) and the posterior parietal cortex (angular gyrus). We further found an occipital cluster, which was located in the left visual association area. Fig. 4 displays the spatial location of each independent components for each cluster (first row) including the cluster centroid, as well as the spatial location of the cluster centroids only (second row). power between stepping conditions, in the alpha- and beta I band. Leading up to the target reappearance, the posterior cingulate displays sparse modulations in the alpha band, which is accompanied by an alpha and beta I power decrease in the primary motor cortices. Within the step initiation phase, i.e. after target reappearance, a widespread, broadband power modulation is observed, mostly in the alpha- and beta I band in the parieto-occipital cortices (i.e. posterior cingulate, posterior parietal and occipital cluster). This is accompanied by a small increase in alpha and theta power in the midline central and posterior cingulate cluster.

Frontal cortex

Time course of power modulations

Fig. 5 shows the time course in mean power modulations between postural conditions (i.e. deviation from 0) in each frequency band and for each cluster separately. These were calculated by averaging the mean power difference between anterolateral and anteromedial stepping condition for each frequency band. Overall, in the preparatory phase, only the primary motor cortices show noteworthy differences in

In the anterior cingulate cluster, we did not find noteworthy spectral changes compared to baseline during step preparation (Fig. 6). Following target reappearance, we observed an increase in the thetaand alpha bands, that did not significantly differ between conditions.



Fig. 4. Dipole locations for all participants (top row) and cluster centroids (bottom row) in sagittal (left), axial (middle) and coronal (right) plane. Cluster centroids are displayed in a larger size and colours correspond to their respective clusters. We identified 8 relevant clusters, anterior cingulate (yellow), primary motor area left (purple) and right (red), midline central (white), posterior cingulate (blue), midline parietal (lime), posterior parietal (olive) and left occipital (aqua). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)



Fig. 5. Summary figure of time course of power modulations for specific frequency bands, displaying the mean difference in power band modulations (positive: relative power increase in anteromedial stepping compared to anterolateral stepping; negative: relative power decrease. Grey shaded areas indicate significant differences between anterolateral and anteromedial stepping, as identified by the time–frequency analysis for each separate cluster. Note that the Δ power axis for the plots in the top two rows extends from -1 to +1 dB, and for the bottom two rows from -2.5 to +2.5 dB to avoid clipping. All frequency bands correspond with the general frequency bands as defined in the methods. The vertical dashed lines in the time–frequency plot correspond to trial-related events (icons below) and indicate first target presentation (t = -2500 ms), onset of target movement (t = -1500 ms), disappearance of target behind occluder (t = -750 ms) and target reappearance (t = 0 ms).

Supplementary and primary motor cortical areas

During the preparation of an upcoming step, time–frequency analysis of the midline central cluster (Fig. 7) indicated a beta II power decrease that started shortly after the onset of target motion and lasted until target reappearance, yet with little differences between conditions. Around target reappearance, a long-lasting alpha/theta power increase occurred, which was significantly stronger during the initiation of an anteromedial compared to an anterolateral step. Step initiation was also accompanied by a beta II power decrease. A brief, yet noteworthy significant difference occurred in the beta I frequency band, where participants displayed stronger power suppression during anteromedial stepping compared to anterolateral stepping. Time-frequency analysis of the left and right primary motor cortex presented a similar oscillatory pattern compared to baseline and similar pattern of significant differences between stepping conditions and we therefore only report the results of the left primary motor cortex (please refer to Appendix Fig. 1A for ERSPs of the right primary motor cortex). The M1 (Fig. 8A) presented a strong low-alpha (8–10 Hz) power suppression throughout the preparatory phase. Significant differences between stepping conditions mainly occurred in the high-alpha band during the stationary and early moving target phase, where participants displayed a modest power increase relative to baseline during preparation of an anterolateral step and a modest power decrease compared to baseline during the preparation of an anteromedial step. Around target reappearance, high alpha and beta I rhythms also showed significantly



Fig. 6. Scalp map and locations of equivalent current dipoles, ERSPs for anterolateral (first row) and anteromedial steps (second row) and contrast plot (third row) for the anterior cingulate cluster. Preparatory (left; t = -2600 to t = +100 ms) and step initiation phase (right; t = -100 to t = +500 ms) are plotted separately, note the different scaling on the time axis between preparatory and initiation phases. ERSPs averaged time–frequency maps across participants for the anterolateral (top row) and anteromedial (second row) stepping conditions, and the contrast plot (third row) indicating differences between conditions (anteromedial minus anterolateral). Black triangles correspond to the grand average moment of foot-off (anterolateral stepping: 352 ms; anteromedial stepping: 492 ms). Frequency bands are indicated on the y-axis and correspond with the general frequency bands as defined in the methods. The vertical dashed lines in the time–frequency plots correspond to trial-related events (icons below) and indicate first target presentation (t = -2500 ms), onset of target movement (t = -1500 ms), disappearance of target behind occluder (t = -750 ms) and target reappearance (t = 0 ms). Time-frequency maps show a decrease (blue) and increase (red) in mean power, relative to the baseline. In the contrast plot, the non-significant differences ($\alpha = 0.05$) are overlayed by a white transparent mask. Non-FDR corrected significant differences are indicated by a black contour. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

more suppression in preparation of an anteromedial step.

During step initiation, the M1 displayed a weak power increase in theta and a strong broadband power decrease over alpha, beta I and beta II bands. This power decrease initially differed significantly between conditions, where participants showed stronger broadband power decrease in alpha, beta I and beta II-bands during anteromedial stepping compared to anterolateral stepping.

Lateralized differences during anterolateral and anteromedial stepping in motor cortex

We observed strong lateralized activity in both the right and left M1 during the step initiation phase. Since the results of right and left M1 were essentially mirrored, we only report results from left M1 for conciseness (Fig. 8B; see Appendix Fig. 1B for right M1 results). During anterolateral step initiation, the left M1 displayed significantly greater power reductions in the alpha and beta bands for steps with the

(contralateral) right leg compared to the left leg (Fig. 8B, top row). These lateralized differences were less pronounced and largely restricted to the alpha band during anteromedial step initiation (Fig. 8B, second row).

Parietal and occipital cortex

Overall, time frequency analysis of the parietal and occipital clusters displayed a similar oscillatory pattern during preparation of the upcoming step. Therefore, we here show the ERSPs and contrast map of the cluster with greatest contrast between conditions (the posterior cingulate cluster; Fig. 9). Please refer to the Appendix for the ERSPs and contrast maps of the midline parietal, posterior parietal and occipital cluster (Figs. 2-4).

The preparatory oscillatory pattern consisted of an alpha/beta I power decrease following initial target presentation, followed by a strong, continuous alpha/beta I during the real and implied motion of



Fig. 7. Scalp map and locations of equivalent current dipoles, ERSPs for anterolateral (first row) and anteromedial steps (second row) and contrast plot (third row) for the midline central cluster. For detailed description, see Fig. 6.

the target. Sparse significant differences between stepping conditions were observed in the alpha band in the posterior cingulate (Fig. 9) and occipital cluster (Appendix Fig. 4), where stronger alpha power suppression was found during the preparation of an anteromedial step compared to an anterolateral step.

During step initiation, we observed a transient theta power enhancement, followed by a strong alpha/beta I power decrease over all parietal and the occipital clusters. The theta enhancement was significantly stronger during anteromedial stepping in the posterior cingulate cluster (Fig. 9), and to a lesser degree in the midline parietal cluster (Appendix, Fig. 2). Additionally, strong alpha/beta I power decreases were observed in all four parietal and occipital clusters. This power decrease was strongest in the posterior cingulate and occipital cluster, and significantly more so in anteromedial compared to anterolateral stepping.

Cortical dynamics aligned to step onset

To visualize movement-related differences between conditions, we realigned the ERSPs relative to the average moment of foot-off (Fig. 10). This was done for the primary motor and posterior cingulate clusters, as these showed distinct modulation in alpha and beta bands towards the time of foot-off. In contrast, the cortical dynamics in the frontal and SMA clusters appeared to be temporally linked to the stimulus, rather than to foot-off; realigned contrasts were therefore deemed less informative for these clusters.

The contrasts between postural conditions become evident around 300 ms before foot-off, with a greater power decrease being observed in the alpha- and beta power frequency bands during anteromedial stepping. Note that this timing roughly coincides with the onset of the APA in anteromedial stepping. While the contrast between conditions wanes around foot-off in the posterior cingulate cluster (Fig. 10B), it persists in the primary motor cortex until after foot-off (Fig. 10A).

Discussion

The current study investigated differences in oscillatory activity from multiple cortical areas during the preparation and initiation of rapid stepping movements between a low-postural demand (anterolateral stepping) and a high-postural demand condition (anteromedial stepping). Replicating our previous findings, we observed a reciprocal relationship between EVRs and APAs: when postural demands were low, EVRs were present in most participants and APAs were mostly absent, but when postural demands were high, EVRs were largely suppressed and instead target-selective APAs were strongly expressed (Billen et al., 2023). Cortical dynamics were evaluated for two separate phases within the task, namely the preparatory phase and the step initiation phase. During the preparatory phase (i.e. from initial target presentation until target reappearance), we found significant differences in cortical dynamics between anterolateral and anteromedial stepping conditions that were most evident in primary motor areas. During the step initiation phase (i.e. from target reappearance until the foot was lifted to start the stepping movement), a transient theta and alpha power increase was observed in multiple clusters, most strongly in the SMA. Here, this alpha/theta power increase was significantly stronger in the anteromedial compared to the anterolateral stepping condition. Shortly following the theta/alpha power increase, there was a widespread alpha and beta power decrease, which was significantly stronger during anteromedial step initiation in parietal, occipital and primary motor clusters. Fig. 11 depicts the proposed cortical and subcortical structures and their dynamic interaction involved in rapid step initiation. The following sections will focus on the main brain regions involved here during both the preparatory phase and the step initiation phase.

Cortical dynamics in preparation of step initiation with distinct postural demands

Before discussing the observed differences in preparatory cortical dynamics, we first reiterate what (motor) actions can already be planned ahead, regardless of stepping direction. Prior to target reappearance, the participant knows (1) the temporal dynamics of the task, i.e. *when* the step will have to be initiated and (2), due to the blocked nature of this study, what the postural demands of the upcoming step are, i.e. whether a mediolateral center of mass shift towards the stance limb prior to step initiation is needed or not. Importantly, it is unknown *what* the exact stepping movement will be, as stepping side and, consequently, the direction of the APA and the stepping leg are only determined upon target reappearance.

In line with the known involvement of the supplementary and primary motor areas in APA control (Bolzoni et al., 2015; Brugger et al., 2020, 2021; Gwin et al., 2011; Jacobs et al., 2009; Ng et al., 2011, 2013), we observed modulations in the alpha and beta rhythms relative to baseline during the second half of the preparatory phase (i.e. until target reappearance). In the supplementary motor area, we found a prominent (high) beta decrease, but this was independent of the postural condition. Motor cortical alpha and beta oscillations are generally thought to be inhibitory in nature, where a power decrease reflects a gradual release from inhibition, or in other words, stronger cortical activation (Kilavik et al., 2013; Pfurtscheller, 2006; Pfurtscheller & Lopes da Silva, 1999). Beta power suppression is commonly observed both in anticipation of and during execution of a movement, followed by a power increase after movement execution (Engel & Fries, 2010; Kilavik et al., 2013). A previous EEG study reported a similar centrallylocated beta power decrease starting around 2 s before voluntary step onset, coinciding with the preparatory phase of step initiation (Varghese et al., 2016). Unlike the study of Varghese and colleagues (2016), our paradigm did not allow directional APA planning (i.e. which side to step towards, as this was only evident for the participant upon target reappearance). Thus, SMA beta dynamics prior to step initiation may reflect the planning and temporal coordination of non-target-selective motor

Neuroscience 575 (2025) 104-121



Fig. 8. A. Scalp map and locations of equivalent current dipoles for the left motor cluster, ERSPs for anterolateral (first row) and anteromedial steps (second row) and contrast plot (third row) for the left primary motor cluster. For detailed description, see Fig. 6. **B.** ERSPs during the step initiation phase (from target reappearance t = 0) for left (first column) and right steps (second column) during anterolateral stepping (first row) and anteromedial stepping (second row) for the left primary motor cluster. The contrast maps in the third column display the lateralized differences during anterolateral stepping (first row) and anteromedial stepping (second row). The contrast maps in the third row display differences in stepping conditions during left steps (first column) and right steps (second column).

actions, e.g. those related to the forward thrust of the CoM, which need to be performed irrespective of the postural demands and target side. As shown in our previous work, this likely involves bilateral activation of tibialis anterior starting ~ 120 ms following target reappearance in anteromedial as well as anterolateral steps (Billen et al., 2023). This non-lateralized muscle activation can be planned without knowing the direction of the upcoming stepping movement.

In the primary motor cortex (both left and right cluster), we did observe significant differences in preparatory dynamics in the highalpha and low beta band between postural conditions. During the preparation of an anterolateral step, participants displayed a modest power *increase* relative to baseline, whereas participants displayed a modest power *decrease* during the preparation of an anteromedial step. This observation may indicate a preparatory shift to overall greater motor cortical involvement in steps that require target-selective APA recruitment, as compared to the more reflexive generation of APA-less anterolateral steps. Neurons in M1 have direct corticospinal projections, but also project onto reticulospinal pathways (Fisher et al., 2021). As such, the observed alpha and beta suppression in primary motor cortex may be involved in upregulation of APA generation.

Interestingly, parietal areas also exhibited differences in preparatory cortical dynamics, with participants showing a significantly stronger suppression mainly in the alpha-band during the preparation of an anteromedial step compared to an anterolateral step. From a cognitive perspective, the posterior parietal cortex is thought to act as a visuomotor controller, making computations based on proprioceptive, visual and attentional resources and may set the selection, preparation and execution of motor actions in motion by reciprocally interacting with the premotor areas (Goodale, 2011; Rilk et al., 2011; Wise et al., 1997). Thus, we speculate that the decreased preparatory alpha band power may point at increased visual-spatial attention toward the target under high postural demand, perhaps recruiting necessary premotor or visuomotor areas where attentional signals may be the modulators.

In line with previous findings (Billen et al., 2023), we found prominent differences in EVR expression between the stepping conditions, yet we could not identify plausible cortical correlates for proactive 'tuning' of the fast visuomotor network. While previous studies have implicated theta band activity in (pre)frontal cortex in proactive motor response inhibition (Adelhöfer & Beste, 2020; Wendiggensen et al., 2022), we found no evidence of such (pre)frontal involvement in EVR suppression when postural demands were high. Neither did the frontal cluster exhibit any evident changes relative to baseline during the preparatory phase, nor did we find any relevant differences in cortical dynamics between the conditions.

Step initiation phase

To aid interpreting the EEG findings during the step initiation phase, we first summarize the observed sequence and timing of events in the two stepping conditions. Upon target reappearance, following a brief transitory epoch from the preparatory phase, the onset of the EVR (at 110–120 ms) in stance-leg GM demarcated the first target-selective event in the anterolateral stepping condition; step onset followed at \sim 350 ms. In anteromedial stepping, EVRs were generally suppressed. Consistent target-selective GM activity in the stepping leg was observed



Fig. 9. Scalp map and locations of equivalent current dipoles, ERSPs for anterolateral (first row) and anteromedial steps (second row) and contrast plot (third row) for the posterior cingulate cluster. For detailed description, see Fig. 6.

around 150 ms, which resulted in APA onset at \sim 160 ms; average step reaction times in this condition were much slower (\sim 450 ms). Hence, during the first 350 ms of the step initiation phase both feet were still on the ground in either condition, but centre of mass dynamics were distinctly different.

Differential cortical dynamics in the SMA during step initiation

Upon target reappearance, we observed continued beta power suppression in the supplementary motor area, which was marginally stronger in anteromedial step initiation (around 100–200 ms), as well as a strong theta/alpha power increase, which was also larger during anteromedial compared to anterolateral step initiation. The theta power increase appeared to be more widespread throughout multiple cortical areas (such as frontal areas and midline parietal, see Appendix) but was most pronounced in the SMA; the concurrent alpha power increase appeared to be more localized to the SMA.

Previous EEG studies investigating cortical dynamics during wholebody movements have reported similar results over the SMA. For example, Varghese and colleagues (2016) demonstrated event-related theta and alpha synchronization at the Cz electrode (overlaying SMA) that appeared to be stronger and longer lasting during APA execution prior to a lateral step compared to a simple lateral weight shift, potentially reflecting enhanced sensorimotor activation in the preparation and generation of APAs. An alternative, and not mutually-exclusive interpretation of the greater SMA theta power when stepping under greater postural demand is its involvement in stability monitoring. Previous studies have demonstrated midfrontal theta power increments when stability was challenged by external balance perturbations (Stokkermans et al., 2023) or when walking on uneven terrain (Liu et al., 2024; Sipp et al., 2013). Although the current study did not involve any external perturbations, but instead employed a global manipulation of postural demands, the theta power increase in the current study may also reflect more extensive performance monitoring during anteromedial stepping as the increased postural demands may potentially endanger balance (Fig. 11).

In addition to its purported role in APA generation, the SMA has also been implicated in the inhibitory control of voluntary action, especially during motor tasks involving rapid choices between competing motor responses. Proper inhibition of inadequate motor responses in favour of the chosen motor response is therefore essential. Lesion studies in humans have shown that this response inhibition involves the SMA (Sumner et al., 2007). Furthermore, recordings of local field potentials in monkey SMA revealed a power increase in low-frequency bands (5–20 Hz) during and following a successful inhibition of arm movements (Stuphorn & Emeric, 2012). As our experimental paradigm involved suppression of EVRs in anteromedial steps, we speculate that the observed differential SMA dynamics may be involved in reactive inhibition of EVR responses. This inhibition may take place at the level of the midbrain reticular formation (Fig. 11), a structure that receives



Fig. 10. Averaged time–frequency maps across participants aligned to average moment of foot-off for each participant and condition **A**. Primary motor (left) cluster: left (first column) and right steps (second column) during anterolateral stepping (first row) and anteromedial stepping (second row). The contrast maps in the third column display the lateralized differences during anterolateral stepping (first row) and anteromedial stepping (second row). The contrast maps in the third row display differences in stepping conditions during left steps (first column) and right steps (second column). **B** Posterior cingulate: anterolateral (top row) and anteromedial (second row) stepping conditions, and the contrast plot (third row) indicating differences between conditions (anteromedial minus anterolateral).

projections from the SMA (Jürgens, 1984) and is thought to be involved in the modulation of EVRs (Contemori et al., 2023).

M1 signatures of target-selective APA recruitment

We observed stronger alpha/beta I power suppression in the primary motor cortex for the anteromedial compared to the anterolateral stepping condition, with this difference between conditions being already present at the instant of target reappearance, likely as a continuation of differences observed in the late preparatory phase. As oscillatory dynamics in either condition did not differ between steps taken with the left or the right leg until ~ 150 ms after stimulus reappearance (see Fig. 8B), this initial contrast between postural conditions – albeit modest in strength - likely reflects non-target-selective activity for orchestrating generic motor actions in anteromedial step initiation. A strong alpha and beta I power suppression then emerged around 150-200 ms, earlier and significantly stronger in anteromedial than anterolateral step initiation, indicating greater M1 involvement when initiating a step under more posturally-demanding conditions. The contrast remained significant until \sim 350 ms, which roughly corresponded to the time of anterolateral step onset. As during this epoch both feet were still on the ground in either condition, but with distinctly different ground reaction force profiles measured underneath both legs (see Fig. 2), the execution of the APA during anteromedial step initiation likely involves an important contribution of M1 (Fig. 11). Indeed, when realigning the ERSPs to footoff, there was a strong contrast between conditions with a relatively well-defined onset of differential cortical modulations approximately 300 ms prior to foot-off. Notably, this timing in onset aligns with the duration of an APA, which also starts around 300 ms prior to foot-off.

Starting at around 150 ms after stimulus reappearance, the first legspecific changes in M1 dynamics emerged according to the (prospective) stepping side. In the anterolateral stepping condition, this timing evidently lags the target-selective EVR recruitment observed in GM, supporting the purportedly subcortical origin of this earliest targetselective event. EVRs are believed to be generated subcortically, where a visual stimulus reflexively triggers a target-directed response, with rapid latencies of 80-120 ms following target presentation in the upper-limbs (Contemori et al., 2023; Kozak et al., 2019) and 100-140 ms in the lower limbs (Billen et al., 2023, 2024). This hypothesis is further supported by their time-locked nature that is independent of subsequent movement reaction time (Pruszynski et al., 2010), and their goal-directedness that is unaffected by explicit instructions on how to respond to the target (Contemori et al., 2023; Gu et al., 2016, 2019; Wood et al., 2015). In this study, we contribute to this body of research by demonstrating, for the first time, that cortical areas engage too late to be directly involved in EVR generation, reinforcing the hypothesis of their subcortical origin.

During anterolateral stepping, alpha and beta suppression was more pronounced for steps with the leg contralateral to the M1 cluster, suggesting stronger cortical involvement for the stepping leg compared to the stance leg, consistent with prior studies (Gwin et al., 2011; Nordin et al., 2019). In anteromedial stepping, the contrast between stance and stepping side was also significant, but not as pronounced and largely restricted to alpha and low beta frequencies. These findings suggest greater M1 involvement in stance leg recruitment during target-selective APA execution than during rapid anterolateral step initiation in the absence of an APA, despite ground reaction forces under the stance leg in the latter stepping condition being *higher* during the entire epoch (see



Fig. 11. Proposed pathway diagram of cortical and subcortical structures involved in rapid, goal-directed step initiation. Visual input (grey pathways) enters the brain via the retina-geniculo-striate pathway projecting to visual cortices via the lateral geniculate nucleus and via retinotectal pathways projecting directly to the superior colliculus. Cortical structures (light blue), including parietal cortex, supplementary and premotor areas (SMA/PM), and primary motor cortex (M1), interact in the generation of anticipatory postural adjustments and goal-directed stepping movements (Spedden et al., 2022; Takakusaki, 2017), with descending outputs being conveyed by corticospinal and cortico-reticulospinal pathways. The red pathway, including the superior colliculus, shows a rapid route projecting visually-derived information to the reticular formation. The output from the reticular formation to the spinal cord is shown in magenta to reflect contributions of both tectoreticulo- and corticoreticulospinal projections. During anteromedial stepping, the combined corticospinal and reticulospinal motor output inhibits EVR expression and promotes APA generation, as indicated at the bottom of the figure. Conversely, during anterolateral stepping, the combined output would promote EVR expression and inhibit APA generation. Symbols (-, =, +) indicate cortical dynamics changes in anteromedial relative to anterolateral stepping during the - preparatory phase (orange) and step initiation (green), with the number of "+" signs $(+ \to +++)$ reflecting the strength of the condition during step initiation). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

Fig. 2).

Enhanced parietal engagement during APA execution

In parietal and occipital cortex, we also observed significantly stronger alpha/beta power suppression during the initiation of an anteromedial step (i.e. during APA execution) compared to an anterolateral step, a contrast that became even more apparent when aligning the cortical dynamics relative to the average moment of foot-off. As our task involved a goal-directed stepping movement, it follows that the role of vision is crucial to guide the stepping leg towards the direction of the target. The dorsal visual stream ('vision-for-action' pathway) projects from visual areas towards the posterior parietal cortex (Fig. 11) and processes information for guiding goal-directed limb movements towards the desired position (Andersen & Buneo, 2003; Fattori et al., 2010; Goodale, 2011; Goodale & Westwood, 2004; Mishkin et al., 1983; Sakata, 2003). In the anterolateral condition, our findings may thus reflect less extensive visuospatial integration in parietal areas prior to foot-off, since step initiation in this condition appears to rely on more reflexive, directly stimulus-driven visuomotor transformations at the subcortical level. As foot-off approaches, cortical activity during

anterolateral stepping seems to gradually ramp up (see Fig. 10B), resulting in cortical dynamics similar to those in anteromedial stepping, starting from the moment of foot-off. Thus, while the first phase of step initiation may be more reflexive, subcortically-driven, the increase in activity around foot-off may reflect the need for more visuospatial integration during step execution, perhaps for finetuning foot trajectory towards the target. Anteromedial stepping, on the other hand, requires a more voluntary and deliberate step initiation due to the increased postural demands, perhaps resulting in stronger cortical processing in parietal areas (Fig. 11). This contrast can be seen even more clearly when visualized aligned to foot-off. Prior research (Liu et al., 2024) has indicated sustained alpha and beta power suppression in the posterior parietal cortex during walking on an uneven surface compared to an even surface. Such contribution of the parietal cortex is also supported by the work of Spedden and colleagues (2022), who revealed reduced corticocortical coherence in alpha and beta/gamma between posterior parietal cortex and dorsolateral premotor cortex during visually guided step initiation and execution versus the control condition (standing and watching).

Limitations & methodological considerations

A limitation of our study is the use of a template head model, which may lead to source-localization errors up to 2 cm compared to an anatomically accurate individual-specific head model (Liu et al., 2023). This limits interpretating the observed individual clusters in the parietal/occipital areas, which were found in close proximity. Furthermore, due to the blocked nature of the task, participants knew in advance whether the target would appear anterolaterally or anteromedially. As the postural demands are oftentimes more unpredictable in daily life, experimentally increasing the uncertainty regarding the target's spatial location (and consequently the postural demands) may provide valuable insights in future studies. We expect that in situations where postural demands are unknown, EVR suppression and APA expression may be stronger, as part of a "default" state to prioritize postural control before executing the step itself (Castellote et al., 2024; Piscitelli et al., 2017).

Conclusions

We investigated the cortical dynamics underlying the initiation of rapid steps during two contrasting postural demand conditions. Our collective findings point at an overall greater cortical involvement during the preparation and initiation of a visually guided step requiring an APA, as compared to a more reflexive, stimulus-driven response in the absence of an APA. Furthermore, we found little evidence of cortical activity potentially involved in context-dependent modulation of EVR expression. Instead, we speculate that APA-related cortical activity from SMA may have indirectly suppressed EVRs in the high postural demand condition, perhaps via gating mechanisms at the level of the brainstem involving the reticular formation (Contemori et al., 2023).

Our findings could have functional implications for populations with impaired postural control due to aging or disease. When postural control is compromised, stepping actions that involve APAs may demand even more allocation of cortical resources compared to the young individuals studied here. If even "simple" stepping movements require more cortical engagement, it could limit the ability to flexibly adapt and interact with our dynamic environments. This is evident in reduced dual-tasking ability (Beurskens and Bock, 2012) and lower propensity to step reflexively in elderly participants and in people with PD (Billen et al., 2024). To shed more light on the impact of aging and disease on the

Appendix A

neural control of this highly common daily-life task, future research may build upon the experimental methodology presented here, by studying modulation in cortical activity during step initiation under varying postural demands.

Author Contributions

Ilse Giesbers: Conceptualization, Methodology, Software, Formal analysis, Investigation, Data curation, Writing – Original Draft, Review & Editing, Visualization; *Lucas Billen*: Conceptualization, Methodology, Software, Formal analysis, Investigation, Data curation, Writing – Original Draft, Review & Editing, Visualization; *Joris van der Cruijsen*: Methodology, Formal analysis, Writing – Review *Brian Corneil*: Conceptualization, Methodology, Software, Resources, Writing – Review & Editing, Supervision, Funding acquisition; *Vivian Weerdesteyn*: Conceptualization, Methodology, Resources, Writing – Review & Editing, Supervision, Project administration, Funding acquisition

Funding

This work was supported by a Donders Centre for Medical Neuroscience (DCMN) grant to BDC and VW.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Ilse Giesbers: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Visualization, Validation, Project administration, Methodology, Investigation, Formal analysis, Data curation, Conceptualization. Lucas Billen: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Visualization, Validation, Supervision, Project administration, Methodology, Investigation, Formal analysis, Data curation, Conceptualization. Joris van der Cruijsen: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Visualization, Validation, Project administration, Methodology, Investigation, Formal analysis, Data curation, Conceptualization. Brian D. Corneil: Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Software, Methodology, Funding acquisition, Conceptualization. Vivian Weerdesteyn: Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Resources, Methodology, Funding acquisition, Conceptualization.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.



Fig. 1. A. Scalp map and locations equivalent current dipoles, ERSPs for anterolateral (first row) and anteromedial steps (second row) and contrast plot (third row) for the right primary motor cluster. **B.** ERSPs during the step initiation phase (from target reappearance t = 0) for left and right steps during anterolateral stepping and anteromedial stepping or the right primary motor cluster, and its contrast maps.



Fig. 2. Scalp map and locations equivalent current dipoles, ERSPs for anterolateral (first row) and anteromedial steps (second row) and contrast plot (third row) for the midline parietal cluster.



Fig. 3. Scalp map and locations equivalent current dipoles, ERSPs for anterolateral (first row) and anteromedial steps (second row) and contrast plot (third row) for the posterior parietal cluster.



Fig. 4. Scalp map and locations equivalent current dipoles, ERSPs for anterolateral (first row) and anteromedial steps (second row) and contrast plot (third row) for the occipital cluster.

References

Adelhöfer, N., Beste, C., 2020. Pre-trial theta band activity in the ventromedial prefrontal cortex correlates with inhibition-related theta band activity in the right inferior frontal cortex. Neuroimage 219, 117052. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. neuroimage.2020.117052. Andersen, R.A., Buneo, C.A., 2003. Sensorimotor integration in posterior parietal cortex. Adv. Neurol. 93, 159–177.

Bancroft, M.J., Day, B.L., 2016. The Throw-and-Catch Model of Human Gait: Evidence from Coupling of Pre-Step Postural Activity and Step Location. Front. Hum. Neurosci. 10. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2016.00635.

- Benjamini, Y., Hochberg, Y., 1995. Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A Practical and Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing. J. Roy. Stat. Soc.: Ser. B (Methodol.) 57 (1), 289–300. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2517-6161.1995.tb02031.x.
- Beurskens, R., Bock, O., 2012. Age-Related Deficits of Dual-Task Walking: A Review. Neural Plasticity. https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/131608.
- Billen, L.S., Corneil, B.D., Weerdesteyn, V., 2023. Evidence for an Intricate Relationship Between Express Visuomotor Responses, Postural Control and Rapid Step Initiation in the Lower Limbs. Neuroscience. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. neuroscience.2023.07.025.
- Billen, L.S., Nonnekes, J., Corneil, B.D., Weerdesteyn, V., 2024. Lower-limb express visuomotor responses are spared in Parkinson's Disease during step initiation from a stable position (p. 2024.11.29.625631). bioRxiv. https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.11. 29.625631.
- Boehnke, S.E., Munoz, D.P., 2008. On the importance of the transient visual response in the superior colliculus. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. 18 (6), 544–551. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.conb.2008.11.004.
- Bolzoni, F., Bruttini, C., Esposti, R., Castellani, C., Cavallari, P., 2015. Transcranial direct current stimulation of SMA modulates anticipatory postural adjustments without affecting the primary movement. Behav. Brain Res. 291, 407–413. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.bbr.2015.05.044.
- Bouisset, S., Zattara, M., 1981. A sequence of postural movements precedes voluntary movement. Neurosci. Lett. 22 (3), 263–270. https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3940 (81)90117-8.
- Brenière, Y., Do, M.C., 1986. When and how does steady state gait movement induced from upright posture begin? J. Biomech. 19 (12), 1035–1040. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/0021-9290(86)90120-x.
- Brenière, Y., Do, M.C., 1991. Control of gait initiation. Journal of Motor Behavior 23 (4), 235–240. https://doi.org/10.1080/00222895.1991.9942034.
- Brugger, F., Wegener, R., Baty, F., Walch, J., Krüger, M.T., Hägele-Link, S., Bohlhalter, S., Kägi, G., 2021. Facilitatory rTMS over the Supplementary Motor Cortex Impedes Gait Performance in Parkinson Patients with Freezing of Gait. Brain Sci. 11 (3), 321. https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci11030321.
- Brugger, F., Wegener, R., Walch, J., Galovic, M., Hägele-Link, S., Bohlhalter, S., Kägi, G., 2020. Altered activation and connectivity of the supplementary motor cortex at motor initiation in Parkinson's disease patients with freezing. Clin. Neurophysiol. 131 (9), 2171–2180. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinph.2020.05.023.
- Caderby, T., Dalleau, G., Leroyer, P., Bonazzi, B., Chane-Teng, D., Do, M.-C., 2013. Does an additional load modify the Anticipatory Postural Adjustments in gait initiation? Gait Posture 37 (1), 144–146. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaitpost.2012.06.012.
- Carlsöö, S., 1966. The initiation of walking. Cells Tissues Organs 65 (1–3), 1–9. https:// doi.org/10.1159/000142864.
- Castellote, J.M., Kofler, M., Mayr, A., 2024. The benefit of knowledge: Postural response modulation by foreknowledge of equilibrium perturbation in an upper limb task. Eur. J. Appl. Physiol. 124 (3), 975–991. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-023-05323-z.
- Contemori, S., Loeb, G.E., Corneil, B.D., Wallis, G., Carroll, T.J., 2021a. The influence of temporal predictability on express visuomotor responses. J. Neurophysiol. 125 (3), 731–747. https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00521.2020.
- Contemori, S., Loeb, G.E., Corneil, B.D., Wallis, G., Carroll, T.J., 2021b. Trial-by-trial modulation of express visuomotor responses induced by symbolic or barely detectable cues. J. Neurophysiol. https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00053.2021.
- Contemori, S., Loeb, G.E., Corneil, B.D., Wallis, G., Carroll, T.J., 2023. Express Visuomotor Responses Reflect Knowledge of Both Target Locations and Contextual Rules during Reaches of Different Amplitudes. J. Neurosci. 43 (42), 7041–7055. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2069-22.2023.
- Corneil, B.D., Munoz, D.P., 2014. Overt Responses during Covert Orienting. Neuron 82 (6), 1230–1243. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2014.05.040.
- (6), 1230–1243. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2014.05.040.
 Corneil, B.D., Olivier, E., Munoz, D.P., 2004. Visual responses on neck muscles reveal selective gating that prevents express saccades. Neuron 42 (5), 831–841. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0896-6273(04)00267-3.
- Crenna, P., Frigo, C., 1991. A motor programme for the initiation of forward-oriented movements in humans. J. Physiol. 437, 635–653. https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.1991.sp018616.
- De Luca, C.J., 2002. Surface electromyography: Detection and recording. DelSys Incorporated 10 (2), 1–10.
- Delorme, A., Makeig, S., 2004. EEGLAB: An open source toolbox for analysis of singletrial EEG dynamics including independent component analysis. J. Neurosci. Methods 134 (1), 9–21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneumeth.2003.10.009.
- Engel, A.K., Fries, P., 2010. Beta-band oscillations—Signalling the status quo? Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. 20 (2), 156–165. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2010.02.015.
- Fattori, P., Raos, V., Breveglieri, R., Bosco, A., Marzocchi, N., Galletti, C., 2010. The Dorsomedial Pathway Is Not Just for Reaching: Grasping Neurons in the Medial Parieto-Occipital Cortex of the Macaque Monkey. J. Neurosci. 30 (1), 342. https:// doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3800-09.2010.
- Fisher, K.M., Zaaimi, B., Edgley, S.A., Baker, S.N., 2021. Extensive Cortical Convergence to Primate Reticulospinal Pathways. J. Neurosci. 41 (5), 1005–1018. https://doi. org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1379-20.2020.
- Goodale, M.A., 2011. Transforming vision into action. Vision Res. 51 (13), 1567–1587. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.visres.2010.07.027.
- Goodale, M.A., Westwood, D.A., 2004. An evolving view of duplex vision: Separate but interacting cortical pathways for perception and action. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. 14 (2), 203–211. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2004.03.002.
- Gu, C., Pruszynski, J.A., Gribble, P.L., Corneil, B.D., 2019. A rapid visuomotor response on the human upper limb is selectively influenced by implicit motor learning. J. Neurophysiol. 121 (1), 85–95. https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00720.2018.

- Gu, C., Wood, D.K., Gribble, P.L., Corneil, B.D., 2016. A Trial-by-Trial Window into Sensorimotor Transformations in the Human Motor Periphery. J. Neurosci. 36 (31), 8273–8282. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0899-16.2016.
- Gwin, J.T., Gramann, K., Makeig, S., Ferris, D.P., 2011. Electrocortical activity is coupled to gait cycle phase during treadmill walking. Neuroimage 54 (2), 1289–1296. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.08.066.
- Hermens, H.J., Freriks, B., Merletti, R., Stegeman, D., Blok, J., Rau, G., Disselhorst-Klug, C., Hägg, G., 1999. European Recommendations for Surface ElectroMyoGraphy. Roessingh Research and Development 8–11.
- Inaba, Y., Suzuki, T., Yoshioka, S., Fukashiro, S., 2020. Directional Control Mechanisms in Multidirectional Step Initiating Tasks. Front. Hum. Neurosci. 14, 178. https://doi. org/10.3389/fnhum.2020.00178.
- Jacobs, J.V., Lou, J.S., Kraakevik, J.A., Horak, F.B., 2009. The supplementary motor area contributes to the timing of the anticipatory postural adjustment during step initiation in participants with and without Parkinson's disease. Neuroscience 164 (2), 877–885. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2009.08.002.
- Jürgens, U., 1984. The efferent and afferent connections of the supplementary motor area. Brain Res. 300 (1), 63–81. https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(84)91341-6.
- Kilavik, B.E., Zaepffel, M., Brovelli, A., MacKay, W.A., Riehle, A., 2013. The ups and downs of beta oscillations in sensorimotor cortex. Exp. Neurol. 245, 15–26. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.expneurol.2012.09.014.
- Kozak, R.A., Cecala, A.L., Corneil, B.D., 2020. An Emerging Target Paradigm to Evoke Fast Visuomotor Responses on Human Upper Limb Muscles. JoVE (journal of Visualized Experiments) 162, e61428. https://doi.org/10.3791/61428.
- Kozak, R.A., Kreyenmeier, P., Gu, C., Johnston, K., Corneil, B.D., 2019. Stimulus-Locked Responses on Human Upper Limb Muscles and Corrective Reaches Are Preferentially Evoked by Low Spatial Frequencies. eNeuro 6 (5). https://doi.org/10.1523/ ENEURO.0301-19.2019.
- Liu, C., Downey, R.J., Mu, Y., Richer, N., Hwang, J., Shah, V.A., Sato, S.D., Clark, D.J., Hass, C.J., Manini, T.M., Seidler, R.D., Ferris, D.P., 2023. Comparison of EEG Source Localization Using Simplified and Anatomically Accurate Head Models in Younger and Older Adults. IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering : A Publication of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society 31, 2591. https://doi.org/10.1109/TNSRE.2023.3281356.
- Liu, C., Downey, R.J., Salminen, J.S., Arvelo Rojas, S., Richer, N., Pliner, E.M., Hwang, J., Cruz-Almeida, Y., Manini, T.M., Hass, C.J., Seidler, R.D., Clark, D.J., Ferris, D.P., 2024. Electrical brain activity during human walking with parametric variations in terrain unevenness and walking speed. Imaging Neurosci. 2, 1–33. https://doi.org/ 10.1162/imag.a00097.
- Maris, E., Oostenveld, R., 2007. Nonparametric statistical testing of EEG- and MEG-data. J. Neurosci. Methods 164 (1), 177–190. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ineumeth.2007.03.024.
- Mishkin, M., Ungerleider, L.G., Macko, K.A., 1983. Object vision and spatial vision: Two cortical pathways. Trends Neurosci. 6, 414–417. https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-2236(83)90190-X.
- Ng, T.H.B., Sowman, P.F., Brock, J., Johnson, B.W., 2011. Premovement brain activity in a bimanual load-lifting task. Exp. Brain Res. 208 (2), 189–201. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s00221-010-2470-5.
- Ng, T.H.B., Sowman, P.F., Brock, J., Johnson, B.W., 2013. Neuromagnetic brain activity associated with anticipatory postural adjustments for bimanual load lifting. Neuroimage 66, 343–352. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.10.042.
- Nordin, A.D., Hairston, W.D., Ferris, D.P., 2019. Faster gait speeds reduce alpha and beta EEG spectral power from human sensorimotor cortex. I.E.E.E. Trans. Biomed. Eng. 67 (3), 842. https://doi.org/10.1109/TBME.2019.2921766.
 Oostendorp, T.F., Oosterom, A.V.A.N., 1989. Source Parameter Estimation in

Oostendorp, T.F., Oosterom, A.V.A.N., 1989. Source Parameter Estimation in Inhomogeneous Volume Conductors of Arbitrary Shape 36 (3), 382–391.

Oostenveld, R., Praamstra, P., 2001. The five percent electrode system for highresolution EEG and ERP measurements. Clin. Neurophysiol. 112 (4), 713–719. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1388-2457(00)00527-7.

Oostenveld, R., Stegeman, D.F., Praamstra, P., van Oosterom, A., 2003. Brain symmetry and topographic analysis of lateralized event-related potentials. Clin. Neurophysiol. 114 (7), 1194–1202. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1388-2457(03)00059-2.

Pfurtscheller, G., 2006. The cortical activation model (CAM). In: Neuper, C., Klimesch, W. (Eds.), Progress in Brain Research, Vol. 159. Elsevier, pp. 19–27. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-6123(06)59002-8.

- Pfurtscheller, G., Lopes da Silva, F.H., 1999. Event-related EEG/MEG synchronization and desynchronization: Basic principles. Clin. Neurophysiol. 110 (11), 1842–1857. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1388-2457(99)00141-8.
- Piscitelli, D., Falaki, A., Solnik, S., Latash, M.L., 2017. Anticipatory postural adjustments and anticipatory synergy adjustments: Preparing to a postural perturbation with predictable and unpredictable direction. Exp. Brain Res. 235 (3), 713–730. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s00221-016-4835-x.
- Pruszynski, J.A., King, G.L., Boisse, L., Scott, S.H., Flanagan, J.R., Munoz, D.P., 2010. Stimulus-locked responses on human arm muscles reveal a rapid neural pathway linking visual input to arm motor output. Eur. J. Neurosci. 32 (6), 1049–1057. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-9568.2010.07380.x.
- Rezvani, S., Corneil, B.D., 2008. Recruitment of a head-turning synergy by low-frequency activity in the primate superior colliculus. J. Neurophysiol. 100 (1), 397–411. https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.90223.2008.
- Rilk, A.J., Soekadar, S.R., Sauseng, P., Plewnia, C., 2011. Alpha coherence predicts accuracy during a visuomotor tracking task. Neuropsychologia 49 (13), 3704–3709. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2011.09.026.

Sakata, H., 2003. The role of the parietal cortex in grasping. Adv. Neurol. 93, 121-139.

Sipp, A.R., Gwin, J.T., Makeig, S., Ferris, D.P., 2013. Loss of balance during balance beam walking elicits a multifocal theta band electrocortical response. J. Neurophysiol. 110 (9), 2050. https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00744.2012.

- Solis-Escalante, T., van der Cruijsen, J., de Kam, D., van Kordelaar, J., Weerdesteyn, V., Schouten, A.C., 2019. Cortical dynamics during preparation and execution of reactive balance responses with distinct postural demands. NeuroImage, 188 (September 2018), 557–571. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.12.045.
- Spedden, M.E., Beck, M.M., West, T.O., Farmer, S.F., Nielsen, J.B., Lundbye-Jensen, J., 2022. Dynamics of cortical and corticomuscular connectivity during planning and execution of visually guided steps in humans. Cerebral Cortex (New York, N.Y. : 1991), 33 (2), 258–277. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhac066.
- Stokkermans, M., Solis-Escalante, T., Cohen, M.X., Weerdesteyn, V., 2023. Midfrontal theta dynamics index the monitoring of postural stability. Cereb. Cortex 33 (7), 3454–3466. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhac283.
- Stuphorn, V., Emeric, E.E., 2012. Proactive and reactive control by the medial frontal cortex. Frontiers in Neuroengineering 5, 9. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fneng.2012.00009.
- Sumner, P., Nachev, P., Morris, P., Peters, A.M., Jackson, S.R., Kennard, C., Husain, M., 2007. Human Medial Frontal Cortex Mediates Unconscious Inhibition of Voluntary Action. Neuron 54 (5), 697. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2007.05.016.
- Takakusaki, K., 2017. Functional Neuroanatomy for Posture and Gait Control. Journal of Movement Disorders 10 (1), 1–17. https://doi.org/10.14802/jmd.16062.

- Varghese, J.P., Merino, D.M., Beyer, K.B., McIlroy, W.E., 2016. Cortical control of anticipatory postural adjustments prior to stepping. Neuroscience 313, 99–109. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2015.11.032.
- Wagner, J., Makeig, S., Gola, M., Neuper, C., Müller-Putz, G., 2016. Distinct β Band Oscillatory Networks Subserving Motor and Cognitive Control during Gait Adaptation. J. Neurosci.the Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience 36 (7), 2212–2226. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3543-15.2016.
- Wendiggensen, P., Ghin, F., Koyun, A.H., Stock, A.-K., Beste, C., 2022. Pretrial Theta Band Activity Affects Context-dependent Modulation of Response Inhibition. J. Cogn. Neurosci. 34 (4), 605–617. https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn_a_01816.
- Wise, S.P., Boussaoud, D., Johnson, P.B., Caminiti, R., 1997. Premotor and parietal cortex: Corticocortical connectivity and combinatorial computations. Annu. Rev. Neurosci. 20, 25–42. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.neuro.20.1.25.
- Wood, D.K., Gu, C., Corneil, B.D., Gribble, P.L., Goodale, M.A., 2015. Transient visual responses reset the phase of low-frequency oscillations in the skeletomotor periphery. Eur. J. Neurosci. 42 (3), 1919–1932. https://doi.org/10.1111/ejn.12976.
- Zhao, M., Bonassi, G., Samogin, J., Taberna, G.A., Porcaro, C., Pelosin, E., Avanzino, L., Mantini, D., 2022. Assessing Neurokinematic and Neuromuscular Connectivity During Walking Using Mobile Brain-Body Imaging. Front. Neurosci. 16, 912075. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2022.912075.